

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Chikhli Road , Yelgaon, Buldhana-443002 (M.S) INDIA

www.plit.ac.in

email:plitprincipal@gmail.com

Approved by AICTE New Delhi. Recognized by DTE(M.S), Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University .Amravati ISO 9001:2015 Certified

1.4.1. Institution obtains feedback on the academic performance and ambience of the institution from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni etc. and action taken report on the feedback is made available on institutional website

Sr. No	INDEX
1.	Summary
2.	Feedback Process
3.	Sample Questionnaire
4.	Sample unfilled feedback forms
5.	Sample filled feedback forms
6.	Feedback analysis
7.	Action Taken Report
8.	Evidence of action taken



PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Chikhli Road , Yelgaon, Buldhana-443002 (M.S) INDIA

www.plit.ac.in

email:plitprincipal@gmail.com

Approved by AICTE New Delhi. Recognized by DTE(M.S), Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University ,Amravati ISO 9001:2015 Certified

1.4.1. Institution obtains feedback on the academic performance and ambience of the institution from various stakeholders, such as Students, Teachers, Employers, Alumni etc. and action taken report on the feedback is made available on institutional website

TO WHOMSOEVER IT MAY BE CONCERN

This is to certify that Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Yelgaon, Buldhana has implemented a feedback system for taking review on academic performance and facilities provided by the institute as a part of curriculum enrichment and continuous improvement process. Suggestion has been taken from all the stakeholders including teachers, students, alumni and employers to improve the academic performance and teaching learning process and subsequent action has been taken for the same.

Principal



PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Chikhli Road , Yelgaon, Buldhana-443002 (M.S) INDIA

www.plit.ac.in

email:plitprincipal@gmail.com

Approved by AICTE New Delhi. Recognized by DTE(M.S), Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University .Amravati ISO 9001:2015 Certified

FEEDBACK PROCESS

The Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Yelgaon, Buldhana always strive to focus towards providing quality education and good ambience. With the objective of achieving the same, the institute has implemented a well-designed feedback mechanism which involve valuable feedback from stakeholders including students, alumni, teachers and employers in every academic year. After collecting the valuable feedbacks, the same has been analyzed and subsequent action has been taken for overcoming the lacuna if any. The details of the stakeholder feedback is discussed below.

A. Stake Holders

1. Internal Stakeholders

The institute believe in giving highest priority to students and faculties who are in direct concern of complete teaching-learning and ambience. They derived as the internal stakeholders and their feedback always being valued for continuous improvement process.

2. External Stakeholders

The Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Yelgaon, Buldhana always keen to take a review from external stakeholders like alumni and employers who are presently not in direct concern with the academic performance and college ambience but whose feedback would definitely help in improving the same. In order to take a feedback about the performance of our graduated who are working in various companies, we have circulated the employer feedback form among the students and request them to let their employer give the valuable feedback about their performance which is a direct output of quality teaching.



PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Chikhli Road, Yelgaon, Buldhana-443002 (M.S) INDIA

www.plit.ac.in

email:plitprincipal@gmail.com

Approved by AICTE New Delhi. Recognized by DTE(M.S), Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University .Amravati ISO 9001:2015 Certified

The employer feedback is very helpful to the institute as it directly reflects the standard of teaching and training given to the students to make them technically and ethically sound. In the same way alumni are those who have actually gone through all the know-how of the institution. Every year we take feedback from the alumni and ask them to give suggestions which help us to improve in any of the way.

B. Objectives of the Feedback Collection Mechanism

- To formulate a well-designed feedback system.
- To systematically collect the feedbacks from all the stakeholders.
- To review and analyze the complete feedback collected.
- To prepare a plan of action and take the actions for those parameters who are not matching the standards.
- To initiate the action for quality improvement.

C. Student's Feedback on Faculty

The student's feedback on faculty including completion of syllabus, preparation of lectures by teachers, delivery of lectures, approach of teacher towards problem solving issues etc. has been collected at department level. The feedback is communicated confidentially to the Head of the department and the HOD will individually call the subject teachers for review of the percentage feedback they received. This will give good opportunity to the teacher to upgrade themselves in teaching learning process for quality improvement. More than 70% of the teachers usually get more than 80% of the average feedback. Those teachers who fails to achieve the good percentage feedback are then intimated to prepare well during appraisal process and guided to improve their performance.



PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Chikhli Road, Yelgaon, Buldhana-443002 (M.S) INDIA

www.plit.ac.in

email:plitprincipal@gmail.com

Approved by AICTE New Delhi. Recognized by DTE(M.S), Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University .Amravati ISO 9001:2015 Certified

D. Alumni Feedback

The valuable feedback from the pass out graduates will always influence the institution's overall growth. We follow the practice of taking a feedback from the alumni whenever they visit the college. Every year the feedback also collected through google forms. Similarly, the alumni meet is organized where students come voluntarily to give their suggestions, thoughts and experience with the teachers and college authorities.

E. Parents Feedback

The institute believe in taking valuable feedback from Parents too. Every year the parents meeting is organized at departmental level where the parents are required to share their insight and review about the college. Some of the points to be focused as follows:

- 1. Infrastructure facility provided by the college.
- 2. Teaching-Learning facilities and Library.
- 3. Approach of teaching staff to mentor students.
- 4. Academic and other discipline.



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Chikhali Road, Yelgaon, BULDHANA-443002(M.S.), INDIA. url:plit.ac.in, Mail.id:plitprincipal@gmail.com, Contact:918080237640

Feedback Questionnaires for Theory Subjects:

Question No	Question description
1	Teacher come to the class on time
2	Teaching is well planned
3	Aims and objectives made clear
4	Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique
5	Teacher come well Prepared
6	Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)
7	Teachers writes / draws legibly
8	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.
9	Teacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of
	thinking ability
10	Teacher uses audio visual aids /model for effective teaching
11	Did you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and
	lively?
12	Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your expectations?
13	Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity
	displayed by you
14	Teacher is impartial in dealing
15	Teacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the discipline
16	Teacher covers the syllabus completely and at the appropriate pace
17	Teacher offers assistance / counseling outside of a classroom.
18	Are the sessionals found challenging and result of sessional decleared
	within a week?
19	Are the evaluation of the sheets is fair

Feedback Questionnaires for Practical Subjects:

Question No	Question description			
1	How much stress lead on the concepts underlying the experiments			
2	How well the procedure of the experiments are explained			
3	How much opportunity was given for question & answer			
4	How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical			
	difficulties			
5	5 Comment on other involvement in lab			
6	How much of the time slot allotted for the lab courses was engaged			
7	How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solution to			
	experiment			
8	What was the attitude of the teacher			
9	Relevancy to the theory subject			
10	With the reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per			
	session (academic year) were			
11	The equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for			



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies,

Department of

Format for the Evaluation of Faculty by Students							
C	LSS: Semes				Date :		
in the second	Evalution Parameter	Always (5)	Usually (4)	Very Often	Sometimes (2)	Never	1
	Grading ->	SUBI	SUB2	SUB3	SUB4	SUB5	SUB6
-	PLANNING & ORGANIZATION						
	Teacher come to the class on time						
:	Teaching is well planned .						
3	Aims and objectives made clear						
4	Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique						
5	Teacher come well Preparedy						
	TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS					-	
6	Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)					,	
7	Teachers writes / draws legibly						
8	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.						
9	Teacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability						
10	Teacher uses audio visual aids /model for effective teaching Teacher provide examples of engineering						
	STUDENTS PARTICIPATION						
11	Die you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and lively?	-					
12	Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your extectations?						
	Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity displayed by you			,		5	
	Teacher is impartial in dealing CLASS MANAGEMENT & EVALUATION PROCESS						
15	Teacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the discipline						
16	Teacher covers the syllabus completely and at the appropriate pace						
17	Teacher orfers assistance / counseling outside of a classroom.					12.	
18	Are the sessional found challenging & result of sessional declared within a week?			7			
19	Are the evaluation of sheet is fair?						



Principal

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology

Management Studies, Buldana

EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY STUDENTS FOR PRACTICALS

(Solves one of the option gives & insert option number in the following bases for each subject) SUBJECT: 1. 2 3 3 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DEPARTMENT OF	ACEDEMI	C SESSION	:			
Client one of the option given A inverte option number in the following bases for each notification. SUBJECT:			DATE	!			
1. How much desay as lead on the concepts underlying the experiment a. Advanta b. too much c. inadequate d. testly inade indicate d. testly inadequate d. testly inadequ				and subject)			
1. How much sters, was lend on the concepts underlying the experiment a. Adequate b. too much c. indequate d. testaly inadequate 1. How will the procedure of the experiments are explained? 2. How will the procedure of the experiments are explained? 3. How much programmly a more apportunity a more apportunity d. reser copyraturity d. reser copyraturity d. reser a. Execution b. good c. estal depotency b. good c. estal depotency b. good c. estal depotency d. Thow much support did you get during lab sension to overcome practical difficulties? a. Execution b. good c. estal depotency b. good c. estal depotency d. The depotency sends most of the time wish students b. good c. estal depotency d. How much the time index allowed for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 50% b. about 70-70% d. about 607-70% d. botto 607-70% d. b					. 4		
a. stopulate b. too much c. indefequent d. testily innefequent 2. How will the precedure of the experiments are explained? 2. How will the precedure and the experiments are explained? 3. How much deportunity b. occasional opportunity c. trace opportunity c. trace opportunity d. exerce 4. How much support fild you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Execution b. good c. Execution b. good c. Execution b. good c. Secution b. good c. The expert spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He exerce puide the student d. How much to the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. helphy simulating b. stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating c. ravely simulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. woods present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contest d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. the stimulating c. test than sufficient l. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for a. more than 90% time b. about 75-80% time c. about 67-590% time c.		SUBJECT : 1.	2		,		
a. stopulate b. too much c. indefequent d. testily innefequent 2. How will the precedure of the experiments are explained? 2. How will the precedure and the experiments are explained? 3. How much deportunity b. occasional opportunity c. trace opportunity c. trace opportunity d. exerce 4. How much support fild you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Execution b. good c. Execution b. good c. Execution b. good c. Secution b. good c. The expert spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He exerce puide the student d. How much to the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. shoot of the time select allocated for the lab courses was engaged? a. helphy simulating b. stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating c. ravely simulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. woods present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contests d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. severational intervant b. resounds present contest d. Appears to be indifferent to undent for the stimulating c. the stimulating c. test than sufficient l. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for a. more than 90% time b. about 75-80% time c. about 67-590% time c.	had on the concepts underlying the experiment					47	
b. too much c. insefequate d. testily insefequate d. testily insefequate a. exceedingly well b. executary west c. indecquire d. testily insefequate d. Appear to be indifferent to rundent d. testily insert the testily relevant to testily indic inclusional to restily interesting d. testily interest							
6. Intercepting well b. decaying well b. decaying well b. decaying well b. decaying well c. Indexpute d. teality inadequate a. A semple opportunity c. prace opportunity c. prace opportunity d. teality inadequate d. teali							
2. How well the procedure of the experiments are explained? a exceedingly well b. decausity well c. Indequate? d. testily inadequate d. testily inadequate a. A line much approximally c. rise opportunity c. rise opportunity c. rise opportunity d. rise of the control of the co	c. inadequate						
a. secretingly well b. indequated well c. indequate d. instity mandequate 3. How much apportunity b. occasional apportunity b. occasional apportunity b. occasional apportunity c. true apportunity d. recer d. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficients a. Execution b. good c. setificatory 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. The matter sprands mont of the time with students b. Populates occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time also allisted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully most than 90% b. about 50-75% d. about 60-75% d. b. some times symphotic & helpful c. eavoid symphotic & helpful c. eavoid symphotic & helpful b. some times symphotic & helpful c. eavoid sympho	d. totally inadequate						
b. sefequency well c. inadequate d. totally inadequate 3. Now much opportunity b. occasional opportunity c. rare opportunity d. never 4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Everificat b. poos c. satisfactory d. poor c. satisfactory d. poor d. poor c. satisfactory d. poor d. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Everificat b. poos c. satisfactory d. poor d. poo	2. How well the procedure of the experiments are explained.	_					
d. Intelly inadequate 3. Now much opportunity was given for question and answer? a. ample opportunity b. occasional opportunity c. rare opportunity d. never 4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. poog c. setificatory d. poor S. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The seacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. shiply stimulating b. remy stimulating c. remy stimulating d. rever 8. What was the aftitude of the teacher? 8. What was the aftitude of the teacher? 8. What was the aftitude of the teacher? 9. Relevancy to the theory subjects. a. concentious exhepful a. nonething relevant d. concentious relevant d. concentious relevant d. ready inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. Interception stant fine of the substitute of experiments, assigned per session (accommodity relevant d. more than 90% time d. more than 90% time d. boot 75-90% time d. boot 75-90% time d. best min 60 % Order than 90% time d. dees than 60 % Order than 90% time d. dees than 60 % Order than 90% time d. dees than 60 %	a exceedingly well						
d. teatily unadequate 3. Now much reportantly was given for question and answer? a. ample opportunity b. occasional opportunity c. rare opportunity d. never 4. Now much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. pool c. sestifications? 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. The teather spunds most of the time with students b. pool d. poor 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. The teather spunds most of the time with students b. The teather spunds most of the time with students d. He guides occasionally d. He does not the infrastest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slet alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. election of the time slet alloted for the lab courses was engaged? 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. randy stimulating d. never a. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphotic & helpful c. evoid promal contexts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relevancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. executionally relevant c. semestimes tralavori d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant D. with reference to the splabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedency year) were a. Sufficient J. the requirements, instruments made available were in working condition for l. the requirements, instruments made available were in working condition for d. tests than 60 % Polysicipal.							
3. How much opportunity be occasional opportunity c. rare opportunity d. never 4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. goog c. sestificatory d. poor d. S. Comment on other involvement in Jab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student G. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. bighly stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? 9. Relevancy to the theory subjects. a. convention be indifferent to student d. Appears to be indifferent to student d. convertions relevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. How not find the sufficient d. In the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for d. less than 60 % Order than 60 % Order than 60 % Order than 60 %	d totally inadequate	Г					
a. ample opportunity b. occasional epoportunity c. rare opportunity c. rare opportunity c. rare opportunity c. rare opportunity d. never 4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. poog c. satisfactory d. poor c. poor c	3. How much opportunity was given for question and answer?	L					
d. never 4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. poog c. satisfactory d. poor 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student d. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-80% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating b. extractional description of the standard of the teacher? a. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphotic & helpful b. some time symphotic & helpful c. woolds promal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student g. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant d. total	a ample opportunity						
d. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? a. Excellent b. poog c. setificatory d. poor S. Comment on other involvement in lab. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. poog c. setificatory d. poor S. Comment on other involvement in lab. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. It guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He mover guide the student G. How much of the time slot allotted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evoids promoal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relaxancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes elavent d. totally inade inclevant d. totally inade inclevant d. totally inade inclevant d. How supplicated, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 57.50% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %							
4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties? 2. Excellent b. goog c. selfsfactory d. poor 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The teacher speaks most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment ? a. highly stimulating d. never a. highly stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful b. sense times symphetic & helpful b. sense times symphetic & helpful c. avoid sympaned context d. Appears to be indifferent to student b. resonably relevant b. resonably relevant c. somestimes relevant d. totally index irrelevant d. totally index irrelevant d. totally index irrelevant d. hot sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient d. hos withing time a. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	4						
a. Excellent b. poog c. setificationy d. poor S. Comment on other involvement in Jab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student d. He never guide the student for the time story and state of the time story a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75 d. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. attimulating d. never s. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic. & helpful c. avaoids presend contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student d. cattain the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relevant d. totally inside irrelevant c. somestimes relevant d. totally inside irrelevant b. more than 90% time b. about 57-59% time d. less than 60 % Augustual Augu	d. never	ลไ				-	
a Excellent b goog c sentificatory d. peor C. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot allotted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. about 600% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rerely stimulating d. never a. usually symphetic & helpful c. availar promal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes ralevent d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant c. somestimes ralevent d. totally inade irrelevant c. somestimes ralevent d. totally inade irrelevant d. totall	difficulties?	Г					
e. estificationy d. poor 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The teacher spends ment of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 1. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% d. bout 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evacids prenal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inside irrelevant d. totally inside irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (10. With reference to the syllabus to the no of experiments, assigned per session (11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-73% time d. less than 60 %		الم الم					
d. poor 5. Comment on other involvement in lab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 6075 d. about 6075 d. about 6076 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evasids prenal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. not sufficient 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (needemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %							
5. Comment on other involvement in Jab. a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the sudent 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids pronal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. extendily relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inside irrelevant d. totally inside irrelevant d. totally inside irrelevant d. totally inside irrelevant d. not sufficient	c. setisfactory	_					
a. The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 79-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60-75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never s. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avoids pronale contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time	d. poor						
b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60759 d. about 6076 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evoids pronal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (seedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a more than 90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	5. Comment on other involvement in 180.	_					
e. He does not take interest d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating b. stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful b. seconds premal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inde irrelevant d. totally inde irrelevant d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (a. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	a. The teacher spends most of the time with students						
d. He never guide the student 6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60.75% d. about 60.75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never a. usually symphetic & helpful c. avoids pronal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficent/ just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 60.75% time c. about 60.75% time c. about 60.75% time d. less than 60 %	b. He guides occasionary						
6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged: a. fully more than 90% b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. awaids promal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accdemic year) were a. Sufficient / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % Paluetinal	J. He mayer guide the student	Г					
a. fully more than 90% b. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids presend contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than 91labus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. d. less than 60 %	6. How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged?	L					
b. about 70-90% c. about 60-75% d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphotic & helpful b. some times symphotic & helpful c. avaids presend contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant c. somestimes relavant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (needemic year) were a. Sufflient/just right b. more than 91/labus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. d. less than 60 %	a, fully more than 90%						
d. about 60% 7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment? a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avoids preonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant d. totally inded irrelevant d. totally inded irrelevant b. more then syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more then syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 12. The equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. A. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	b. about 70-90%						
a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the stituted of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avoids presonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accdemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %							
a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evacids pronal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficient / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	d. about 60%	neriment?					
a. highly stimulating b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evacids pronal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficient / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to exp	ретинсис . Г					
b. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. evacids presonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant d. totally inade irrelevant d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %			4.		200		
c. rarely stimulating d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avoids presnal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavant d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accdemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %							
d. never 8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids prsonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant l. totally inade irrelevant a. Sufficent/just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	c. rarely stimulating						
a usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids prsonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficient / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 12. a more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	d, never	ſ					
b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids prsonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	8. What was the attitude of the teacher?						
c. avaoids prsonal contacts d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 12. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	a usually symphetic & helpful						
d. Appears to be indifferent to student 9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	b. some times symphetic & helpful						
9. Relavancy to the theory subjects. a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accdemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	c. avaoids prsonal contacts	(* .	
a. externally relevant b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (seedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	d. Appears to be included to the charge subjects.	- 10					
b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	9. Relayancy to the theory subjects						
c. somestimes relavent d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	b reasonably relevant						
d. totally inade irrelevant 10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	c. somestimes relavent						
10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments accedemic year) were a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	d, totally inade irrelevant	session (
a Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments,						
b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % Principal	acedemic year) were						
c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % Principal	a. Sufficent / just right						
d. not sufficient 11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for. a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % Principal	b. more than syllabus			æ			
a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % P. Yauw P. Petrocinal	c. less than sufficient	lisian for					
a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % P. Yauw P. Petrocinal	d. not sufficient	ittion for .					
b. about 75-90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 % Principal	11. the equipments, time			3			
c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %							
9 cincinal	c about 60-75% time	estitute of			0/	G	r
9 cincinal	d. less than 60 %	Too Too			P.U.Yau	wo	-9
Principal Principal A set add had institute of Technology			1.5				
I add had locations of Technology		- Ax 12	(3)		Pri	ncipal	-1
Pankaj Laudiled Ilistitute	ी <u>न</u> (४	8 /1.7		P	ankai Laddhad I	nstitute of Techi	iology
Management Studies, Buldena	(A)	E / 3	121		Managemen	t Studies, Bulder	18



VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA

	ALUMNI FEEDBACK			
Alun	nni Name : Sneha Sunil Vairalkar			
Fath	er's Name : Sunil Tykgram Vairalkar			
DOE	3 (DD/MM/YY): 10/01/1998			
Year	of Passing out (UG/BO): 2019 Department:	ci-il E	nginee	MUZ
Pem	nament Address: Ganesh Nagar, Near technical sch	, (600		
! -m.	ail 11) : vairalkersneha 98 @ gmail. com Mobile No.: 9	028100		
Phes	The state of the s	ademics Q	Other	
	Paration Name:			
Desi	gnation : Present Location	: Buldan	a	
	d of working : Core Inter-disciplinary IT Industry Administr	ation 🗹	Other	
		her		
	Year of admission: Name of Programme:			
1,019	Name of Institute:			
SN	Statement	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
1	I feel proud to be associated with PLITMS as an Alumni.			
2	Institute organizes various types of activities for overall development of the students.		~	
3	T & P Cell provides ample on-campus and off-campus placement opportunities.	-		
4	Freceive regular updates from the Institute through Mails/Calls/SMS etc.	V		·
5-	Institute has adequate laboratories and equipment for practical experiences.		-	
6	Education imparted at PLITMS is useful and relevant in my present job.	V		
7	I obtained sufficient technical knowledge (both in theory & practical) at PLITMS.		V	
8	Faculty members of the institute are competent and supporting to the students.		V	
0	Institute provides good hospitality as an Alumni.	V		
10	I am willing to contribute in the development of Institute.	V	1	L
Sug	gestions for any change in carriculum and syllabus:	0		
Sugj	gestions for further improvements:			2 1
		-58	vairal ka	r

Date: 24/06/2021

Signature of Alumni



VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA

ALUMNI FEEDBACK

Alumni Name : Akash Suresh Deshmukh			
Father's Name : Guresh Bhimroo Deshmukh			
DOB (DD/MM/YY): 25 2 1997			
Year of Possing out (UG/PG): 0.02.0 Department:	civil 1	-n99	
Permanent Address: Multey lay out, Buldang			
1-mail 1D : akoshdeshmukh 259769 mail.om Mobile No.:	913093	0064	
True: Self-employed Private Sector Public Sector	Academics [Other	
Present Type: Serremptoyee Transfer Organization Name:			
Designation : Present Location	on: Bu	ldang	
Field of working : Core Inter-disciplinary IT Industry Adminis	stration 🗆 C	Other	
☐ Master's Degree ☐ Ph.D. ☐ Not Applicable ☐ 0	Other		
Progression to Higher Year of admission: Name of Programme:			
Name of Institute:			
SN Statement	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
1 I feel proud to be associated with PLITMS as an Alumni.		-	
Institute organizes various types of activities for overall development of the students.		<u></u>	
3 I & P Cell provides ample on-campus and off-campus placement opportunities.			
4 I receive regular updates from the Institute through Mails/Calls/SMS etc.		-	
5. Institute has adequate laboratories and equipment for practical experiences.			
6 Education imparted at PLITMS is useful and relevant in my present job.			
7 I obtained sufficient technical knowledge (both in theory & practical) at PLITMS.			
8 Faculty members of the institute are competent and supporting to the students.	- L		
9 Institute provides good hospitality as an Alumni.	1		
10 I am willing to contribute in the development of Institute.			
Suggestions for any change in curriculum and syllabus:			
suggestions for further improvements:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date: 21/1/2020	Signatu	re of Alu	nıni

VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

	Alumna's Feedback Form				1	
ini Name:	/ / /					ane
r's Name:	First Name Middle				Last Name	
	First Name Middle	anrac)	(Last Name	ane
of Birth (DD a D to to	Degree: (U	G / PGV		Lastivanie	
of Joinin			sing: S-2	021		
rtment/ B	Branch: Carri C.					
anent Ad			- 1. 1.	Mal	Va~	Dict
	ice Address Vithal Nagar Mehka	7, 1	aluka	Men	K9 /	201
	VITTO VIVOLAGY ME	hkar	, Tal	IKa	MENK	1x Di
n id.		Mobile No.:	7887	782	100	
		lic Sector			hers	
Present	Field of Working: Core Inter-disciplinary	T Industry	☐ Admini	stration [Others	
rganizatio	n Name & Address:					
	Designation:					
	- D. M. L. D. D. D. D. L. W. L.	C Others	A CONTRACTOR OF SALES AND A CONTRACTOR OF SALES		Liver test to the	
ogression						
Higher	Year of Admission:		Name of Progr	ramme:		
Education	Name of Institute:					
		F 11	VC1	CI		Done
No I feel	Statement proud to be associated with PLITMS as an Alumni	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
idam	do you rate the learning experience in terms of their relevance					
	e real life application?	/				
The c	development in the college in recent years are appreciated	/				
1 1	eive regular updates from the institute through Mails/Calls/	./				
SMS	etc eation imparted at PLITMS is useful and releyant in my present					
5 job.	action imparted at FETTINIS is useful and relevant in my present					
	ained sufficient technical knowledge (both in theory and					
prac	tical) at PLITMS.					
	tute provides good hospitality as an Alumni. willing to contribute in the development of institute.					
	TMS is involving alumni in its activities					
The	alumni have a role to play in academically strengthening the					
10 colle	ege further					
	off campus Training & Placement opportunities provided to					
you'	? alumni have have a role to play in financially strengthening the					
	mation of Department wise alumni associations is a step in the		-			
100	nt direction.					
The	Department administration should take initiative to efficiently	~				
14 enre	oll and strengthen the alumni-association.					
Sr. No	Attributes	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1 Env	vironment	~				
	aching, Learning & Evaluation Process					
	ality of Support material	-			-	
3 Fac						
3 Fac	-curricular & extra-curricular activities				-	
3 Fac 4 Qu 5 Co	-curricular & extra-curricular activities	-				
3 Fac 4 Qu 5 Co 6 Tra 7 Lil	-curricular & extra-curricular activities aining & Placement					
3 Fac 4 Qu 5 Co 6 Tra 7 Lit	-curricular & extra-curricular activities	-				

Name & Signature

Student

Name & Signature

Faculty Coordinator

Name & Signature Alumni Cell Incharge

G ALL THE

VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

		's Feedback Form			TOPO	hmyk	h
lumni Name:	vaishnavi	Suni) Middle N	Name		L	ast Name	
uther's Name:	First Name Sunil	BhimE	qv		Des	hmuk ast Name	'n
	First Name	Middle N	vame Degree: (UC	(/PG): U	GI		
	D/MM/YYYY): 03-19-260			ng: 202			
ear of Joining:	2019	1.	out of the				
Department/ Bran	nch: Civil Engg	· · · · ·	LANI	dist f	Buida	ng	
ermanent Addre	ss Andhera Mahadev r	Mandie Ch	1 7.111	4131			
orrespondence /	Address			76664	1265	9	
mail ld: Vais	hnavideshmukho3@gma			16664	1 Z G J	1	
	Type: Self-Employed Priva	te Sector Pub	lic Sector			ers	
1000	Field of Working: Core Inter	r-disciplinary 1	T Industry	☐ Admini	stration [Others	
Present	Name & Address:						
Organization							
	Designation:	/	- 01		******************************	trestro-	
Progression to	☐ Master's Degree ☐Ph.D 🔞	Not Applicable		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O			
Higher	Year of Admission:		ı	Name of Prog	ramme:		_
Education:	Name of Institute:						
Litterio	Name of matture:		1.723.000				
	Statement		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Sr. No.	At he associated with PLITMS as an	Alumni					
1 II feel pro	you rate the learning experience in terms	of their relevance			_		
-	d life application?				~		
2 21 4	-lammant in the college in recent years at	re appreciated					
1 receive	regular updates from the institute through	gh Mails/Calls/			~		
4 SMS etc	DI ITMS is useful and rel	evant in my present			-0477070020		
	ob. obtained sufficient technical knowledge (both in theory and practical) at PLITMS.						
					_		
6 Tobtaine							
7 Institute	provides good hospitality as an Alumni					-	
e I am wil	lling to contribute in the development of	institute.			-	-	_
DI 171 16	C. in invaluing alumni in its activities						
10 The alu	mni have a role to play in academically	Menginening inc					
	further campus Training & Placement opportuni	ities provided to					
	campus Training & Placement opportuni	MARIE MATERIAL PROPERTY.					
you?	mni have have a role to play in financial	lly strengthening the			1		
12 The alu	ion of Department wise alumni associati	ons is a step in the			1		
13 1	and the second s						
The De	enartment administration should take init	liative to efficiently		1			
14 enroll a	and strengthen the alumni-association.		1				
	Attributes		Excellent	t Very Good		Average	Poc
Sr. No	- mant						-
2 Teachi	ng, Learning & Evaluation Process			-	1	-	
2 Faculty	V						
4 Quality	y of Support material rricular & extra-curricular activities						-
5 Co-cur 6 Trainir	ng & Placement				-		+
7 Librar	V						
8 Projec	t Guidance Il Rating of the College						

Vaishnavi deshmukh Name & Signature

Student

Name & Signature Faculty Coordinator Name & Signature Alumni Cell Incharge

reset an

VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDHANA

Alumn			Form		2	Bramhe	ine
	i Name	Divya	Sybhash Middle Name			Last Name	
		First Name	Dayaram		(Bramha Last Name	ne
ather'	s Name:	Subhash First Name	Caldla Name	- Incl	09		
	ch'at (D	D/MM/YYYY): - 5 - 7 - 2000	Degree: (UG/PG).	2-23		
	f Joining:	26-2	Year of Pa	issing:			
	ment/ Bra	The state of the s					
			HOUL B	uldang			
	nent Addr	ess lavali buda Ilica	-do -		`		
	pendence	Address		D.: 9049	0399	P whore	
mail l	ld div	yahianhan + 57@gmail.com	Public Sector	☐ Acaden	nics 🔲 C	others	
		Type: Self-Employed Private Sector		4.1	istration	Others	
Pr	esent	Field of Working: Core Inter-disciplinary	<u> </u>				
115.13	nization	Name & Address:					
		Designation:					
		DDb D DNot Applicable	le 🗌 Other	S			
ALL STATE OF THE S	ession to	L Master 5 to 5 gr. 15		Name of Prog	gramme:		
	igher	Year of Admission:					
Edu	cation!	Name of Institute:					
			Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
r. No		Statement Statement	Externa				
1	I feel prot	ou rate the learning experience in terms of their releva	ance				
-	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Ufo application?		. ,			
		in the college in recent years are appreciated			_		
	I receive t	regular updates from the institute through Mails/Calls.	/				
1							
5	Education	imparted at PLITMS is useful and relevant in my pro	Schi				
	job.	sufficient technical knowledge (both in theory and					
6	Lobtained	at PLITMS.					
-		ravides good hospitality as an Alumni.				-	
0	Lam willi	ne to contribute in the development of institute.					
	The second of the second	i i la la ser activilles	i A				
	The alumi	ni have a role to play in academically strengthening the					
10	college fu	rther mpus Training & Placement opportunities provided to	,				
11 1	. 0		1				
1	771 1	ni have have a role to play in financially strengthening	g the	-			
12	Formution	of Department wise alumni associations is a step in t	he				
13 1	A						
1.	The Dena	riment administration should take initiative to efficien	itly				
14	enroll and	strengthen the alumni-association.					
		Attributes	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
No	Environm	ant				-	
2 1	reaching.	Learning & Evaluation Process					
7 1	Faculty						
5 (Quality of	Support material ilar & extra-curricular activities				-	
6 1	Framing &	Placement					
7 1	ibrary roject Gu	idance					
8	roject Gu	ting of the College				11	

Divy a bramhane

Name & Signature Student Name & Signature Faculty Coordinator

Name & Synature Alumni Cell Incharge

PLI

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALUMNI ASSOCIATION C/O Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Buldhana

- Membership Form

To, The President, PLIT Alumni Association, Buldana-443002



I wish to become the member of "PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALUMNI ASSOCIATION". I submit the information for the same. Please accept my membership

	1) Name: Vaishnavi	Sunil	Deshmukh	
8	First	Middle	Last	
Ý	2) Gender: Female			
	3) Date of Birth (DD/MM/YYYY):	03-11-2000		
	4) Marital Status: unma	rried		
	3) Name of Department/Branch. C	ivil Engg.		
	4) Phone Number with STD:			
7	5) Mobile Number: 7666412	659		
	6) Email Id: Vaishnavides	nmukho320@gm	iail.com	
	7) Year of Joining: 2019	8) Year	of Passing: 2022	
	9) Qualification (Degree): BE (UG) /	ME (PG)		
	10) Achievements:			
	11) Any Other Information:	¥		
	Date: 05 01 2024	_		
	PBE Number:	_	·	
	Signature of Applicant .	Name & Signature Faculty Coordinator	Name & Signature Alumni Association	<u> </u>

户上美元

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALUMNI ASSOCIATION C/O Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Buldhana

. Membership Form

President, Γ Alumni Association, dana-443002



Name	Divya	Subhash	Bramhane
57.1	First	Middle	Last
Gender:	Female		
Date of Bir	th (DD/MM/YYYY):	05/01/2000	
) Marital Sta	tus: Marri	ed .	
	epartment/Branch:		
) Phone Num	ber with STD:		
i) Mobile Nun	nber: 9049	039988	
6) Email Id:	divyobram	hane 57 @gmail . com	
		8) Year of Passing:	
9) Qualification	(Degree): BE (UG)	ME (PG)	
10) Achieveme	nts:	_	
1) Any Other I	nformation:		
Date: 2 -1	- 2024	_	
BE Number:	3E20054	±	
gnature of App	olicant	Name & Signature	Name & Signature
	1	Faculty Coordinator	Alumni Association

尼山太山

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALUMNI ASSOCIATION C/O Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Buldhana

Membership Form

T_{i}	
1	President,
121	I Jumni Association
	lana-443002



	President,
	Falumni Association,
13	lana-443002
	wish to become the member of "PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALUMNI
Α	OCIATION". I submit the information for the same. Please accept my membership
1.	Nikita Aiav Chaitane
47	ame: Nikita Ajay Chaitane First Middle Last
	ender: <u>female</u>
3)	Tate of Birth (DD/MM/YYYY): 06 - 05 - 1999
	11
4)	Tarital Status: Unmorrid .
	ame of Department/Branch: Civil Engineering
	· J
	hone Number with STD:
5)	tobile Number: 7887782900
6)	nail Id: nikita chartane 65@ gmail. com
-	ear of Joining: $W - 2017$ 8) Year of Passing: $S - 2021$
7)	ear of Johning. W
9)	ualification (Degree): BE (UG) / ME/(PG)
10	Achievements:
	Any Other Information:
1.1	Thy Other Information
D:	
PE	Number: 17068
	or haitere.
	Name & Signature Name & Signature
C	atmix of Additional

Faculty Coordinator

Alumni Association

PANKAL LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Date of Feed Back 9/2/2024

Program Year

2023-2024

Tra Semester

Student II)
PBE 23075

Novendera Mankoux

Parent Name

		10	9	8	7	6	()	1.	LJ.	13	• -	SIRI.
Date:-	Total	How do you rate the transparency of the evaluation system in the University?	How do you rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses	How do you rate the quality of teaching in the university?	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?	How do you rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?	How do you rate ambience of the university for effective delivery of the academic programs?	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the Student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation?	How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the semester?	How do you rate the availability of the Text and reference books in the Market?	How do you rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?	Question .
		(5	1			1	<	1	1	7	Excellent
					1	1		,				Very Good (S)
												Good
												Good Average Poor
2												Pcor
gnature of Pa	S C	10	10	0	8	80	10	10	10	10	ō	Total score
Signature of Parents:- NO TICKNESS	2											If Average or poor the changes Suggested

PANKAI LADUHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFART SOCIETY'S

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Student ID PBE 22	PBE 22034 Auinash Kolte		Date of Feed Back	ck 22	Program Year 7022 - 7023	2023	Program Year Semester TSt Semester
SRL	Question •	Excellent	Very (s)	Good (6)	Average Poor	Total score	If Average or poor the changes Suggested
	How do you rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?	3 . 20				ō	
دا	How do you rate the availability of the Text and reference books in the Market?	and				<u>-</u>	
(ju)	How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the semester?	Tithe 3				10	
2k	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the	the	,				٠
-	croed etc. in teaching and evaluation?	- ۲				10	
U	How do you rate ambience of the university for effective delivery of the academic programs?	<u></u>	1			10	
6	How do you rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or future technologies?	7				01	
٦ .	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?	7				10	
S	How do you rate the quality of teaching in the university?	7				0	
9	How do you rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses	d has	7			ē	
10	How do you rate the transparency of the evaluation system in the University?	7	1			ō	
	Total		-			100	

Date:-

Signature of Parents:- Hele



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Department of Applied Science and Huponties

Format for the F	Evaluation	of Facult	v by Stu	lents	F2.	
THE COLUMN TO TH	201	Sen			~ //-	
Evalution Parameter	Alway (5)	Usual (4)	ly Very Of	Date: 2	5 /16/ s Never	2022
Grading	SUB1	SUB:			(1) SUB5	SUB
PLANNING & ORGANIZATION	Cs.		KRW) CE	y cb	25 100 1100 120	308
Teacher come to the class on time	5	5	5	5		
Teaching is well planned	5	5	5	5		
Aims and objectives made clear	5	4	4	5		
Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique	5	4	4	15		
Teacher come well Preparedy	5	5	5	5		
TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS				13		
Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	5	5	5	5		
Teachers writes - draws legibly	5	5	5			
Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.	5	5	5	5		
Leacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability	5	5	5	5		
leacher uses audio visual aids (model for effective teaching). Teacher provide examples of engineering	5	5		-		
STUDENTS PARTICIPATION			5	5		
Did you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and lively?	5	4	ч	—		
Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your expectations?	5	5	4	5		
Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity displayed by you	5	5-	5	2		
Feacher is impartial in dealing LASS MANAGEMENT & EVALUATION PROCESS	5	5-	5	5		
eacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the						
eacher covers the syllabus completely and at the	5	5	5	5		
opropriate pace	5	5	5	5		
eacher offers assistance / counseling outside of a assroom.	5	5	5	5		
te the sessional found challenging & result of sessional clared within a week?	5	5	5	5		
the evaluation of sheet is fair?	5	и	4	5-		

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED SCIENCE & HUMPH	UDENTS FOR PRA	CTICALS	STATE NAME OF BOOK	
CLASS & BATCH 4'St W. CLE [A4]	ACEDEMIC SESSIO	202	3-24	
	DA	TE: 051	10/20:	3
(Select one of the option given & insert option number	er in the following hores !	for each subject)		
SOBI	ECT (WAN)	Phy CKRW)	EW.22)	CPNI
 How much stess was lead on the concepts underlying the experiment a adequate 	ar/			
a adequate b too much	4		2	4_
c. inadequate				
d totally inadequate				
2. How well the procedure of the experiments are explained? 8. exceedingly well		1		
a exceedingly well b adequately well				4
c. inadequate				
d totally inadequate				
3. How much opportunity was given for question and answer? a ample opportunity.				
a ample opportunity b occasional opportunity		3	_3_	4
e rare opportunity				
d never				
4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties?				
a Excellent				
5 6003	4	4	4	4
setistacion,				
5 Comment on other involvement in lab.				
a The teacher spends most of the time with students	L 4	4	3	4
ne guides occasionally				
c He does not take interest				
d. He never guide the student			_	
 How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged? rully more than 90% 	4	4	. 4	4
b about 70-90%	3			
c about 60-75%				
d about 60%				
7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experiment	?			
a highly stimulating	4	U	3	
5 Stirtulating				4
c rarely stimulating d, never				
8. What was the attitude of the teacher?	[4]			
a usually symphetic & helpful				74
o some times symphetic & helpful				
2 availes prisonal contacts 2 Appears to be indifferent to student				
9. Relavancy to the theory subjects.	4	4	7	
a externally relevant				
b. reasonably relevant c. somestimes relavent				
d totally inade irrelevant				
10. With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (
accdemic year) were				
a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus	4	4	Ц	4
c. less than sufficient				
d. not sufficient		1 101		
11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for .	4			4
a more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time	2 5			S. Control of the Con
a about 60-75% time				
d. less than 60 %				

PLÅT

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Department of Applied Science and Haumanities

lase	Format for the Eval		acuity L				
141.55	Semeste	r: <u>T</u>		1	Date: 26	.02.	24024
-	Evalution Parameter	Always (5) SUB1	Usually (4)	(3)_	Sometimes (2)	Never (1)	
	PLANNING & ORGANIZATION	SUBT TO	SUB2	SUBJ C	SUB4	SUB5	SUB6
1	Teacher come to the class on time	5	5	5	5		
2	Teaching is well planned	5	3	5	5		
	Aims and objectives made clear	5	1	5	5		
1	Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique	4	2	5	3		
5	Teacher come well Preparedy .	5	5	5	5,	2235	
	TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS				1 - ,	N. Carlotte	
1	Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	5	4	5	5		
	Teachers writes / draws legibly	5	1	5	5		
	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.	5	5	5	5		
	Teacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and prometion of thinking ability	5	5	5	5		
	Teacher uses audio visual aids /model for effective teaching (Teacher provide examples of engineering	5	3	5	5		
	STUDENTS PARTICIPATION						14
1	Did you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and lively?	5	1	4	2		
-	Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your expectations?	5	5	5	5		
•	Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity displayed by you	5	5	5	5		
	CLASS MANAGEMENT & EVALUATION PROCESS		5				
5	Teacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the	5	5	5	5		
_	discipline Teacher covers the syllabus completely and at the	5	4	5	5		
7	appropriate pace Teacher offers assistance / counseling outside of a	5	5	5	5		
3	classroom. Are the sessional found challenging & result of sessional	5	35	5	5		
_	declared within a week? Are the evaluation of sheet is fair?	5	5	5	5		

Applied EVALUATION OF TEACHERS DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE and EHUMONINES CLASS A RATCH B.E. (B) B.1	ACEDEMIC SESSION 23 - 24
D.E. (13) 131	DATE: 26-02-2024
(Select one of the option given & Insert opti-	SUBJECT 1 Chem 2 BEE (PRJ) (BJP) ASM SUBJECT 1 Chem 2 BEE (PRJ) ECH 2 Emqlish coumn
How much stees was lead on the concepts underlying the experiment a adequate too much	100 Tab Tab Skill
c. inedequate d. totally inedequate	
2. How well the procedure of the experiments are explained? a. exceedingly well b. indequately well c. inadequate d totally inadequate	2 4 4 4
3. How much opportunity was given for question and answer? a ample opportunity b occasional opportunity c rare opportunity d, never d, never	4 4 4 4
4. How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties?	
a Excellent b goog c settstectory d poor	4 4 4
5. Comment on other involvement in tab. a The teacher spends most of the time with students b. He guides occasionally c list does not take interest d. He never guide the student	4 4 4
6. How much of the time stocklifted for the lab courses was engaged? a fully more than 90% b about 70-90% c about 60-75% d about 60%	3 3 3
7. How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solutions to experin	ent?
a highly stimulating	2 2 3 4
5. stimulating c. rarely stimulating d. never	
8. What was the attitude of the teacher? a. usually symphetic & helpful b. some times symphetic & helpful c. avaoids prsonal contects	4 4 4
d Appears to be indifferent to student 2. Relayancy to the theory subjects, a externally relevant	4 4 4
b reasonably relevant c somestimes relevant d totally inade irrelevant	
 With reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (accdemic year) were Sufficent / just right 	4 4 4 4
b. more than syllabus c. less than sufficient d. not sufficient	
11. the equipments, instruments made available were in working condition for a more than 90% time b. about 75.90% time c. about 60-75% time d. less than 60 %	2 2 2

-:,



VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAI LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

(1- Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)					
ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.			€)		
Faculty Name: S. A. Weshmulch Type of course (C					
Course Name and code: ENV-I (GCE02) Year/Semester:	Third \	co	r	0	, .
Department: Civil Engineenong Academic year:	2022	-	2	-	th

Fee	dback on Course	1	2	3	4	5
1	The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate.				V	
2	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.				1	V
3	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.				4	٠,
÷	The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.			***	- 11	~
5	The units/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced.				1	_
6	The recommended textbooks are adequate and map onto the syllabus.					_
7	Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus.			25	4	
Fee	dback on Programme					レ
S	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.				r	~
9	The course content satisfy the needs of follow-on courses.					
10	The programme and curriculum is enriched as compared to similar programme offered by other universities.					ب
Fee	dback on Laboratory component				W	
11	The designed experiments stimulate the interest of students in the subject and deepen their understanding through relating theory to practice (Experiential learning).	8			. :	V
12	The practicals enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students.				4	

Recommendations for course improvement (Please specify topics that should be added/dropped from the course, new books to be recommended, changes in teaching scheme and experiments, etc. if any)

Water Treatment	Phint	wisit	must	be	Comallany
					1

Date: 05 01 2023

Signature of fractier



VISION BULDANA EDUCATIONAL & WELFARE SOCIETY'S PANKAI LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, BULDANA

TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Civil Engineering	Academic year:	2021-	2022
	Year/Semester:	Second	/Perurth.
Faculty Name: Poot S.J. Thigle	Type of course (C	ore/Elective):	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the programme. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(1- Strongly disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Uncertain, 4- Agree, 5- Strongly agree)

Fee	Iback on Course	1	2	3	4	5
1	The allocation of the credits to the course is appropriate.					レ
2	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.				1	V
3	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.					V
4	The timely coverage of syllabus is possible in the mentioned number of hours.			***	1	*
5	The units/sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced.					1/
6	The recommended textbooks are adequate and map onto the syllabus.					V
7	Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus.			are o		レ
Fee	dback on Programme				1	_
8	The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.					V
9	The course content satisfy the needs of follow-on courses.					V
10	The programme and curriculum is enriched as compared to similar programme offered by other universities.	3				V
Fee	dback on Laboratory component				. !	1/
11	The designed experiments stimulate the interest of students in the subject and deepen their understanding through relating theory to practice (Experiential learning).	(0)		UNICA:	L	/
12	The practicals enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students.					V

ecommendations for course, new books to be r	ourse impr ecommend	ovement (Please speed, changes in teachir	cify topics that sign scheme and ex	hould be operimen	added/dropped from the
		curiullam			
for bett	er un	derstanding	of sta	iden	t 10
ate: 03/02/202	2	0	D	Sign	ature of Teacher





Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies,

Department of Science and huminity.

	Format for the Eva	iluation of l	Faculty 1	y Studer	its	7	
Clas	s: 1styear Semes	4	er i e Grijan	. 1	Date: 16		3
	Evalution Parameter	Always (5)	Usually (4)	Very Often (3)	Sometimes (2)	Never (1)	
-	↓ Grading →	SUB1	SUB2	SUB3	SUB4	SUB5	SUB6
	PLANNING & ORGANIZATION	(SVR)	(VPr	r) (al	D) (VP	N)	
1	Teacher come to the class on time	4	3	5 , ,	, Cy	7	,
2	Teaching is well planned	3	4	4	5		
3	Aims and objectives made clear	2	5	5	5		
4	Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique	4	5	3	S		
5	Teacher come well Preparedy .	3	3	2	H		
	TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS				,		
6	Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	5	S	ک	Ś		
7	Teachers writes / draws legibly	3	1	4	5		
8	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.	4	S	4	5		
9	Teacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability	4	3	3	5		
10	Teacher uses audio visual aids /model for effective teaching (Teacher provide examples of engineering	4	2	3	5		
	STUDENTS PARTICIPATION						
³ 11	Did you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and lively?	1	5	4	3		
12	Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your expectations?	4	3)	2		
13	Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity displayed by you Teacher is impartial in dealing	5	3	1	3.		
	CLASS MANAGEMENT & EVALUATION PROCESS						
15	Teacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the discipline	8	2	5	5		
16	Teacher covers the syllabus completely and at the appropriate pace	2	3	3	5		
17	Teacher offers assistance / counseling outside of a classroom.	3	4	5	5		
18	Are the sessional found challenging & result of sessional declared within a week?		5	5	5		
19	Are the evaluation of sheet is fair?	3	3	5	5		

16.42	EVALU.	ATION OF	TEACHE	RS BY STUD	ENTS FOR PR	ACTICALS		2
DEPARTMENT OFCLASS & BATCH _ BE 1	st year				CEDEMIC SESSI	ON: 22		
	YEUS	_A3			DA	TE: G	2-20	23
	(Select one of	the option giv	en & insert op	otion number in	the following boxes	for each subject.)		
					(VMN)	2 (VPM)	Em las	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
1. How much stess was lead o	n the concepts u	nderlying th	ie experimen		Ø		(GPD)	4 (VPN)
a adequate b too much						dy	CH CH	dy
c. inadequate d. totally inadequate					•			
2. How well the procedure of	the experiments	are explaine	d?		4		-	
a exceedingly well		and employee	+			4	4	4
b. adequately well c. inadequate d. totally inadequate				2.6.1				
3. How much opportunity wa	s given for quest			4 1				
a, ample opportunity	s given for quest	ion and ans	wer?		4	4	4	4
b. occasional opportunity				* *				
c. rare opportunity d. never								
4. How much support did you difficulties?	get during lab s	ession to ove	ercome pract	ical	•			
a Excellent	•			V	(1			
b. goog							4	4
 c. satisfactory d. poor 				*				
5. Comment on other involver	nent in lab.				4			
a. The teacher spends most		students			[9]	4	4	4
 b. He guides occasionally c. He does not take interest 				-				
d. He never guide the stude	ent							
6. How much of the time slot :		b courses wa	s engaged?	,	/1	7		
a. fully more than 90%			0 0		4	4	. 4	4
b. about 70-90%c. about 60-75%	4	~						
d. about 60%								
7. How does the teacher stimu	late you to think	about the so	lutions to ex-	neriment 2				
a. highly stimulating			rations to ex	periment?				
b. stimulating		•			4	4	4	4
c. rarely stimulating		2.						
d. never								
 What was the attitude of the augustion of the augustion. 			. 1	*	4	4	4	4
b. some times symphetic &	helpful							
c. avaoids prsonal contacts			44.44.		•			
 d. Appears to be indifferent 9. Relayancy to the theory sub 	to student							
a. externally relevant	jeets.			,	4	4	4	4
b. reasonably relevant								
c. somestimes relaventd. totally inade irrelevant								
10. With reference to the sylla	bus the no of exp	criments, as	signed per se	neion (
account year) were			agned per se	ision (100
 a. Sufficent / just right b. more than syllabus 	44.7	V. 1			4	4	[4]	4
c. less than sufficient	7 5 3							
d. not sufficient				4				
11. the equipments, instrumen	ts made available	e were in wo	rking conditi	on for .	4	4	4	
a. more than 90% time b. about 75-90% time								
c. about 60-75% time							*	

d. less than 60 %



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldana. EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS FEEDBACK I (odd)

Department of Applied Science and Humanities Session: 2022-23

Date: 11/01/23

Workshop Practices

Subject: Prof.V.M.Nawale You have taken the practical of subject Workshop Practices Lab of 1st semester during the session 2022-23 to make the practical knowledge process given to student more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your

evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching - learning more effective

4%	3%	2%	1%	Т	4	3	2	-	4) To		ions		St No How on u
6%	2%	0%	92%	52	u	-	0	48	4) Totally Inadequate	3) Inadquate	2) Too much	1) Adequate	How much stress lead on the concepts underlying the experiments
2%	2%	17%	79%	52			9	41	4) Totally Inadequate	3) Inadquate	2) Adequately well	1) Eexceedingly well	How well the procedure of the experiments are explained
4%	2%	10%	85%	52	2	_	5	4	4) Never	3) Rare opportunity	2) Occasional opportunity	1) Ample opportunity	How much opportunity was given for question & answer
4%	2%	4%	90%	52	2	-	2	47	4) Poor	3) Satisfactory	2) Good	1) Execellent	How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties
6%	2%	10%	83%	52	ų.	-	5	43	4) He never guide the student	3) He does not take interest	2) He guides Occiasionally	1)The teacher spend most of the time with students	Comment on other involement in lab
4%	0%	8%	88%	52	2	0	4	46	4) About 60%	3) About 60-75%	2) About 70-90%	1) Fully more than 90%	How much of the time slot alloted for the lab courses was engaged
4%	2%	4%	90%	52	2	1	2	47	4)Never	3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating	2) Stimulating	1) Highly stimulating	How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the solution to experiment
6%	0%	0%	94%	52	u	0	0	49	4) Appears to be indifferent to student	3) Avoid personal contacts	Sometimes symphetic & helpful	Usually symphetic & helpful	What was the attitude of the teacher
6%	2%	6%	87%	52	3	_	u	45	4) Totally inade irrelevant	3) Sometimes relavant	2) Reasonably relevant	I) Externally relevant	Relavancy to the theory subject
4%	0%	8%	88%	52	2	0	4	46	4) Not sufficient	3) Less than sufficient	2) More than syllabus	1) Sufficient/Just right	With the reference to the syllabus the no of experiments, assigned per session (acedemic year) were
4%	2%	6%	88%	52	2	1	3	46	4) Less than 60%	3) Less than sufficient 3) About 60-75% time	2) More than syllabus 2) About 75-90% time	1) Sufficient/lust right 1) More than 90% time	The equipments, instrument s made available were in working condition for

Dept of App. Sci. & Humanities PLITMS, Buildana I/C First Year Assistant Professor

to ched

Principal Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana



EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS FEEDBACK-I (Odd Sem) Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldana.

Department of First Year Engineering, Session: 2022-23

Date: 11/01/2023

Semester: I

Prof. S.V.Raut Dear Sir,

Subject : Engg. Maths-I

the students is taken. The objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching - learning more effective. Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, You have taught the subject Engg.Maths-I of I semester during the session 2022-23. To make the teaching process more effective, feedback from

%		Т	Ċħ	4	w		2	_		S.Y.		
100	8	52	53	0	0		0	0	Т	eacher come to the class on time	PL	
100	;	52	51	haas	0		0	0	Т	eaching is well planned	PLANNING	
100		<u></u> 52	51	-	0	,	0	0	1	Nims and objectives made clear	G & ORG	
100	•	52	51	-	c	,	0	0	5	Subject Matter organised in Lgical Technique	& ORGANIZATION	
100	100	52	51	-	9	>	0	0	7	Teacher come well Prepared	TION	
100	100	52	52	0	0	0	0	0	-	Teacher speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	TE,	
100	100	52	52	0	c	5	0	0		Teachers writes / draws legibly	CHINO	
,0	80	52	48	4		>	0	0		Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Principle of theory.	TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS	
,	97	52	48	3	,	>	0	-		Teacher provide ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability	ING PRO	
!	81	52	39	-		0	0	12		Teacher uses audio visual aids /model for effective teaching	CESS	
	99	52	50	2	1	0	0	0		Did you feel encouraged to ask questions to make lectures interactive and lively?	STUD	
	99	52	50	2		0	0	-	0	Does teacher answer the question raised by you to your expectations?	STUDENTS PARTICIPATION	
	99	52	50	2		0	0	-	0	Teacher encourages, compliments and praises originality and creativity displayed by you	RTICIP/	
	97	50	48	6	,	0	0	t	s	Teacher is impartial in dealing		
	100	52	52	; -	,	0	0		0	Teacher engauges classes regularly & maintain the discipline	CLASS A	
	99	52	2	5 6	,	0	0		0	Teacher covers the syllabus completely and at the appropriate pace	CLASS MANAGEMENT & EVALUATION PROCESS	
	99	52	21	2		1	0		0	Teacher offers assistance / counseling outside of a classroom.	NT & EVAL	
	100	52	5	<u>.</u>		0	c	,	0	Are the sessionals found challenging and result of sessional decleared within a week?	UATION F	
\	100	52	:	3 (-	0	c	,	0	Are the evaluation of the sheets is fair	ROCESS	

Management Studies, Buldana

Dept of App. Sci. & Humanities,

PLITMS, Buldana

Assistant Professor

শিনিটাইবাবা Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldana.
EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS Department of Applied Science and Humanities FEEDBACK I(odd) Session: 2022-23

Date: 11 / 01/2023

Semester:

Subject:

First Computer Programming Practical

You have taken the prairical of subject Computer Programming Lab of 1st semester during the session 2022-23to make the practical knowledge process given to student more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching a learning more effective. Prof. V.B.NARKHEDE

				3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarr 4) He never guide 4) About 60% the student 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never the student 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal contacts 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student to student to 950 50 51 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3) Satisfact 4) Poor 51 0 0 52 982				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never the student 50 50 50 50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts 2) Avoid personal contacts 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to the student 1 1 1 1 0 0 51 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal relavant 3) Sometimes 2 Contacts 3) About 60% 4) Never 2 Contacts 50 Contacts 50 S0 S0 S1 S1 S0 S1
3) Satisfactor 4) Poor 51 0 0				3) He does not take 3) About 60-73% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4)Never the student 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 52 52 52 52	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts 2) Avoid personal contacts 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to the student 50 50 50 51 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal interest 4) He never guide the student 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to the student 50 50 50 51 50 2 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3) Satisfactory 4) Poor 51 6				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never the student 50 50 50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 2) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts 2) About 60% 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating of contacts and sometimes of the student the student 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3) Satisfactory 4) Poor 51				3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating the filter of the student 4) About 60% 4) Never 50 50 50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3) He does not take 1) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts (1) Avoid personal interest 4) He never guide the student 50 50 4) Never indifferent to student 50 50 51 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal relavant 3) Sometimes and interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student student student 50 50 51 50 21 1 1 1 0 0 2
3) Satisfacto 4) Poor 51				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4)Never the student 50 50 50	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to the student 50 50 50 51	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest and interest 4) About 60-75% 4) Rarely stimulating contacts relavant 4) Appears to be the student 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student student 50 50 50 50 51 50 2
3) Satisfac 4) Poc				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never 50 50 50 50	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts 4) He never guide the student 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student 50 50 50 51	3) He does not take interest 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) About 60% 4) Never and indifferent to the student 4) About 60% 4) Never student 50 50 50 50 51 50
3) Satisfa				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts interest 4) He never guide 4) About 60% 4) Never indifferent to student	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating contacts relavant 4) He never guide the student the student at the student contacts of the student to the student the student at the student at the student at the student contacts of the student at the
3) Satisfa				3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating interest	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal interest contacts	3) He does not take 3) About 60-75% 3) Rarely stimulating 3) Avoid personal 3) Sometimes relavant
2) Good		2) He guides		2) He guides Occiasionally 2) About 70-90%	2) He guides Occiasionally 2) About 70-90% 2) Stimulating	2) He guides 2) About 70-90% 2) Stimulating symphetic & belpful
1) Execellent		1)The teacher spend most of the time with students	1)The teacher spend most of the time with students	1)The teacher spend most of the tume with students than 90% stimulating	1)The teacher spend most of the than 90% stimulating time with students 1) Fully more time with students 1) Fully more timulating symphetic & relevant time with students	1) The teacher spend most of the tume with students than 90% stimulating the helpful
How much support did you get during lab session to overcome practical difficulties	_	Comment on other involement in lab	How much of the How does the time slot alloted teacher stimulate for the lab involement in lab courses was the solution to engaged experiment	Comment on other involement in lab courses was engaged	How much of the time stot alloted teacher stimulate courses was the involement in lab engaged experiment.	How much of the time slot alloted teacher stimulate comment on other for the lab involement in lab courses was engaged experiment.

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies Buldana Primpinacipal

Dept. of App. Sci. & Human test PLITIAS, Buldana Assistati & Diessor

14. Januale is

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Ms.-gement Studies, Buldana. EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY TO STUDENTS Department of Applied Science & Humanities FEEDBACK I (Even Sem)Attendance below 75%

Session: 2018-19

Date: 20/2 /2019

Subject: Electrical Engg. Semester Second

Prof. P.R.Jawale

the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching - learning more effective You have taught the subject Electrical Engg. of Second semester during the session 2018-19 to make the teaching process more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per

_			Ţ.		T	Т	T	T	T	7		T	T	7	7	\neg			_	Т	-		_		_			_	-		-			_	_	_	-	_
5%	4%	3%	2%	1%	-	0	,	A (~	2	-	13	12	Ξ	10	9	80	7	6	5	4	ß	2		Or	tio	ns										SN	
100	0	0	0	c	-	; 5	;	0 (0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	s	5	5	5	5	5	1)Never	2)Sometime	TVEN Office	4 Wienally	SAlumos					on time	the class	come to	Teacher	
85	0	13	0	, c	, 5	3 =	= (0	2	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	w		2)Sometimes	Very Offer 3) Very Offen	4)Ulerrally	SAlwavs						planned	well	Teaching is	PLANNE
85	0	15	0		,	3 =	=	0	2	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	w	5	5	5	3				4)I lenally	SAlways					clear	made	cbjectives	S Aims and	PLANNING & ORGANIZATION
85	0	5	; 0		5	5 =	=	0	2	0	0	5	5	5	S	5	s	5	5	S.	5	S	5	3	1)Never	2)Sometime	3)Very Ofte	4)Usually	SAlways			o	Techniqu	in Lgical	organised	Matter	Subject	NOTIVZIN
85	15	-	,		, ;		=	2	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	٥	S	4	5	5	5	4	1)Never	2)Sometime	ΩΙ		SAlways						Prepared	come well	Teacher	
85	5	6			> ;	: ن	=	2	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	2	5	s	5	4	S	S	5	4		2)Sometime	3)Very Ofte		SAlways			SKIII)	(Comm		~	speaks	Teacher	
85	~		٥	× (;	: ت	=	-	0		0	5	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	4	5	S	5	2	1)Never	2)Sometime	3)Very Ofte	`	SAlways					legibly	man and an	writes /	Teachers	EACHING
92	-			× (; د	- I	12	0	0		0	5	5	2	5	2	S	5	v	5	S	S	5	2)Never	2)Sometime	3)Very Ofte	`	5Always !		theory. pro	concepur	_	amples	_		Teacher	EACHING LEARNING I NOCESS
77	1 2	3 6		0	0	13	10	3	0	0	0	5	2	5	5	5	J	. 0	v	4	S	5	4	4	1-	2)Sometime 2	3)Very Ofte 3	_	5Always 5	of thinking	notion	y	12		6		Teacher	J I NOCESS
	3	,	5	∞	2	=	10	0	0	-	2	5	-	5	J	J	J	, 0	U	, o	S	, o	2	-)Never 1	2)Sometime 2	Very Ofte 3	`	5 Sylways 5		_	effective	_	_			Teacher	
8	9	9	×		0	<u></u>	=	0		-	0	S	٥		J	J		, .	U	, 0	J	2	, ,	U	1=	2)Sometime 2))Very Ofte 3	`	SAlways 5		<u> </u>	lectures v			- (1		č	3100
07	3 6	2 ,	0	8	0	ພ	9	w	0	-	0	5	J					4	ŧ	4	U	2	, ,	U	4	2)Sometime 2):	5	•	5Always 5A	expectatio display ns? by you		you to and	_		wer			7.7.1.0.1.7.1.7.1.7.1.7.1.7.1.7.1.7.1.7.
0.5	8	×	0	8	0	13	=	-	0	-	-				, ,	, 0	, 0	, 0	·	4		, _	, 0	Ü	12			4)Usually 4)t	SAlways SA	displayed by you	cativity	and	praises	HICHES ALIV	s,compli in	. (2	Teacher Te	STODENTSTANTICHATION
,	à ;	^	∞	0	8	13	9	2	-	0	-			, 0	, 0		, 0	4		4			, _		1	ime 2)Sometime 2)S	Very Oftel 3)1	4)Usually 4)t	SAlways SA		dis	the	3 6	2 3	in dealing classes	_	Ų5	
8	2	0	15	0	0	13	=	0	7		-	, ,		, U	, u	, ,	, .	, l u	, ,	, 0		n u	, 0		-	ometime 2)S	ery Ofte 3)\	4)Usually 4)E	5Always 5A		pline		maintain the	E CECIMINA	<	li,		
;	77	s	15	0	0	13	10	-		,	0	, ,	, ,	, .	, ,		, ,	4		, 0	, ,	n U	-		1	ometime 2)S	ery Ofte 3)V	4)Usually 4)U				ropriat	5			- 0		J
,	92	0	s	0	0	13	12	0				0	, ,	, ,	7	, ,	7	n 0	0	A 0	, ,	n u		, ,	4	опешне 2)5	ery Ofte 3)V	4)Usually (4)U		within week?	moo.		-	counselin g and	challer		ı,	
	85	∞	8	0	0	13	=		-	- 0	5 6	-	^	1	1	7	^	V (,	^ -	7	^ 0	., 4		Diverge 1)m	2)Sometime 2)Sometime 2)Sometime 2)Sometime 2)Sometime	Oftel 3) Very Oftel	4)Usually 4)Us	_	within a week?	lared	sessional	e,	q	010	ě	-	
	92	0	8	0	0	<u></u>	17	5 0	,	-	0		,	^	^	^	,	A (^	<i>y</i> (7	,		7		_10	ery Ofte	4)Usually	SAlways					fair	sheet is	of the	Are the	i ha
						247	207	10	: :	7	6	-													-								_	_				

Prof.S.S.Jayalwal

Applied Science & Humanities

EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE DENTS
FEEDBACK I (Even Sem)Attendance above 75%
Department of Applied Science & Humanities
Session: 2018-19

Date : 26/02/2019

Semester Second
Subject: Electrical Engg.
To,

Prof. P.R.Jawale

Dear Sir,

You have taught the subject Electrical Engg. of Second semester during the session 2018-19 to make the teaching process more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching - learning more effective

_						_																																	
5%	4%	3%	20/	30/	10/	T	Ŋ	4	3	2	_	13	12	Ξ	10	9	8	7	0	J	n 4		2	-	. (Opt	ior	15										3.1%	20
92		0	0 0	,	5		12	-	0	0	0	5	5	4	5	S	5	S	U,	U	, 5	U		5	1)Never	2)Sometime	3)Very Or	4)Usually	SAlways							on time	the class	Come to	Tandar
85	15	0	0	,	, 5	; :	= -	2	0	0	0	5	5	4	5	5	٥	v	5	S	S	5	4	5	1)Never	1 (2)Sometimes		4)Usually	5Always								olannod	reaching is	7
85	15	0	0	0	, [; =	=	2	0	0	0	5	5	4	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	4	S	1)Never	es 2)Sometime	ten 3)Very (SAlways							clear	cojectives	-	=
85	15	0	0	-	, [; =	= -	2	0	0	0	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	S	S	S	4	5	1)Never	ime 2)Sometime)fid 3)Very C		5Always						Technique	in Leical		Subject	
85	15	0	0	0	-	; =	=	3	0	0	0	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	4	5	1)Never	md 2)Sometime	nd 3)Very O		5Always						uc -	a ricpared			
100	0	0	0	0	13	: -	3 0	0	0	0	0	S	S	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	I)Never	mq2)Sometime	fld 3)Very O	_	5Always				,	Skill	Comm	-	=	Teacher	1
85	8	8	0	0	13	=	= -	-	-	0	0	5	5	ω	5	S	5	5	5	5	S	5	4	S	1)Never	nd 2)Sometime	<u> </u>		5Always						egioly	_		Teachers	10001111
85	8	0	8	0	13	=	-	- 6	5	-	0	5	5	2	5	5	S	S	5	5	5	5	4	5	1)Never	nd2)Sometime	fld 3) Very O	_	SAlways		uicory.	theory	rinciple of	10	examples	practical	provides	s Teacher	TO LEAKIN
77	15	8	0	0	13	Io	,	۔ ا	- (0 0	0	۸,	۸.	4	5	4	5	5	5	v	5	5	ω	5	1)Never	nd2)Sometin	fld 3) Very Of	4)H length	SAlways	thinking	of	_			_		provide	Teacher	EACHING LEAKNING PROCESS
85	8	0	0	∞	13	=	-	-		٥.	_ (,	٠, ١	4	٠,	۸,	٠,	٠,	5	2	5	S	-	5	I)Never	2)Sometime	TO STATE	AMIGNATS	SA CA		_=	-	teaching	_		visual aids	uses audio	Teacher	SS
92	8	0	0	0	13	12	-	- c				,	۸ ر	^	^	٠, ١	۸ ,	٠,	٠,	5	5	5	4	2)Never	2)Sometim	thenso(+	OAlways		lively?	interactive your	lectures	to make	questions		s encourage		Did you	S
92	8	0	0	0	13	12			0			7	۸ ر	,	,	۸,	۸,	,	۸ ,	2	٠,	۸.	4	1)146461	1)Novie	CO Very Oil	4) Usually	5Always		ns?	your	you to	raised by	question	the			Does	STUDENTS PARTICIPATION
85	s	0	0	8	13	=				5 -	- (, ,	4	٠ د	,	,	,	۸ ر	,	۸,	٠, ١	۸ .	- (1)INGVET	1)Nometime	3) Very Ofte	4)Usually	SAlways	Joseph Co.		creativity	and	originality	praises	ents and	s,complim	encourage	Teacher	ARTICIPAT
85	8	0	0	8	13	=		c	0	-	- 0	,	,	, ,	-	- (n U	2	,	,	۸ ر	A 4		1)Never	2)Sometime	3) Very Ofte	4)Usually										encourage impartial engage	Tanhar	NOI
85	15	0	0	0	13	=	2	c	0	, ,	, .	, 0	4				, ,	, 0	, ,	,	۸ ر	1		Never	2)Sometime	3)Very Ofte	4)Usually	5Always			discipline	the	maintain	30	regularly	classes	n	CLASS M	77 100 10
69	23	S	0	0	13	9	دی		0	0	, .	, ,	4		4						۸ 0	4	. 0	1)Never 1	2)Sometime 2)Sometime 2)Sometime 2)Sometime	2)Sometim 2)Sometim 3)Sometim 3)Some	4)Usually 4	SAlways 5			e pace	opriat		_	_	syllabus assists	Leacher	15	
85	15	0	0	0	13	П	2	0	0	0		5	4				, o	5	Ü			4		1)Never 1)	Sometime 2	Very Ofte 3	4)Usually 4)	5Always 5,	5	V.	room		-	counselin) Sometimen		q	NT & EVAL	
85	15	0	0	0	<u></u>	=	2	0	0	0	U	5	4	5	v	5	S	5	5	U	, 5	4	5	1)Never 1)?	2)Sometime 2)Sometim	Very Ofte 3)	4)Usually 4)(SAlways SA	week?	within a	declared	sessional	Result of	o and	_	nals	_	UATION PE	
92	00 (0	0	-	+	12	_	0	0	0	5	v	4	S	S	S	S	S	5	S	, v	S	U.	ш	yometune	Very Ofte	4)Usually	SAlways					1411	SHEET IS	of the	valution	Are the		
					247	212	28	w	-	s														P														Total	

Feedback Copfdinator Prof.S.S.Jayalwal

io de la companya de

Principal

Applied Science & Humanities


多数格特的自己特殊 所有



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Chikhali Road, Yelgaon, BULDHANA-443002(M.S.), INDIA. url:plit.ac.in, Mail.id:plitprincipal@gmail.com, Contact:918080237640


Second Sem 2022-23, Second feedback

Subject: Engg. Maths-II:Prof. S.V.Raut



* 1 * 2 * 3 * 4 * 5 * 6 * 7 * 8 * 9 * 10 * 11 * 12 * 13 * 14 * 15 * 16 * 17 * 18 * 19


Engg. Chemistry: Prof. V. P. Mangle



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19


Principal Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Tecl Management Studies, Buldana

Basic Electrical Engineering: Prof. P.R. Javale



= 1 = 2 = 3 » 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

Engineering Graphics: Prof. V. M. Nawale




= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

Principal


Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

Engineering Chemistry Lab: Prof. V. P. Mangle



*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Basic Electrical Engineering Lab: Prof. P. R. Javale




*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana


Engineeing Graphics Lab: Prof. V. M. Nawale

一种 地口等信息



*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

English Communication Skills: Prof. T. J. Dodiya



*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana




STEPP TO THE

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Chikhali Road, Yelgaon, BULDHANA-443002(M.S.), INDIA. url:plit.ac.in, Mail.id:plitprincipal@gmail.com, Contact:918080237640


First Sem 2022-23, Second feedback

Engineering Mathematics-I: Prof. S. V. Raut



* 1 * 2 * 3 * 4 * 5 * 6 * 7 * 8 * 9 * 10 * 11 * 12 * 13 * 14 * 15 * 16 * 17 * 18 * 19


Engineering Physics: Prof. V. P. Mangle



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19


Principal Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

Engineering Mechanics: Prof. G. P. Deshmukh



* 1 * 2 * 3 * 4 * 5 * 6 * 7 * 8 * 9 * 10 * 11 * 12 * 13 * 14 * 15 * 16 * 17 * 18 * 19

Computer Programming: Prof. V. P. Narkhede




= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

Q1:


Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

Workshop Practices: Prof. V. M. Nawale




*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Engineering Physics Lab: Prof. V. P. Mangle



*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Engineering Mechanics Lab:Prof. G. P. Deshmukh




*1 *2 *3 *4 *5 *6 *7 *8 *9 *10 *11

Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies Buldana

Computer Programming Lab: Prof. V. P. Narkhede

都是我们不可能的。 · 建



"1 "2 "3 "4 "5 "6 **"**7 "8 "9 "10 "11


Panka; Laddirad Institute of Technology
Management Skudies. Buidana



Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Chikhali Road, Yelgaon, BULDHANA-443002(M.S.), INDIA. url:plit.ac.in, Mail.id:plitprincipal@gmail.com, Contact:918080237640


Department of Applied Science and Humanities 2021-22 Feedback Analysis

Engg. Maths-I: Prof. S. V. Raut



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

Chart Title




= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

/ Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana


anule -

Chart Title




= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19

Chart Title



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11 = 12 = 13 = 14 = 15 = 16 = 17 = 18 = 19


Workshop Proctices: Prof. V. M. Nawale



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11


Principal

Engg. Phys Lab: Prof. P. W. Rasal




= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11

Engg. Mechanics Lab: Prof. K.S. Wawge



= 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = 6 = 7 = 8 = 9 = 10 = 11

Comp. Programming Lab: Prof. S. M. Dandge



2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11

Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

REAL PROPERTY.

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldann.
EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS

FEEDBACK I (ODD Sem)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Session: 2020-21

Semester: Fifth Session: 2020-21
Subject: Communication Skills
To,
Prof. B.Y.Chavan
Dear Sir/ Madam,
You have taught the subject C-SKILL of 5th semester during the session 2020-21 to make the teaching process more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching – learning more effective.

-			NING & ORGA	NEZATION			1949 VALUE		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF				o realiting	more errec	TIME					
5				Subject	Teacher come	Tracher	Teachers	NG LEARNING				STUDENTSPA	RETICIPATION		cu	ASS MANAGES	MENT BYVALU	ATTON PROCES	· I	Total
	time	well planned	tbjectives made clear	Maner organised in Larest Technique	well Prepared	speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	writes I draws legibly	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Practi ple of shoory.	petroide ability no bring conceptual	Teacher uses: suelio visual aide /evolut for effective teaching		answer the question raised by you to your	encourages, co	impartial in dealing	Tracher enganges	Teacher covers the syllabes	Teacher offers assistance / counciling outside of a	Are the sentente found challenging and Remit of intronal decisions within a	Arm that violences of the phoes is fine	
	SMHays	SJAhiuya	SJAllerys	5) Ukaye	3)Always	DAbres	SiAhove	SMwary	SIAlons									week?		THE
1	4)(Junity	430-409	#jtJoully	43Umally	4)Unuity	()Unaily	1000000	4)Charth	4)Chadle	S)Almajo	1)Abraya	SMINING	SMinaya	13A5map	DAlways	SMA syn	Datesys	2)Aboys	SANses	1
8	3)Very Other	77Vay Otlea	3) Very Others	3) Very Others	33Very Offices	3)Very Ofen	3/Very Often	3)Vory Otless	March Livers	4)County	4)Carally	4)/Joseby	Quady	O'Charly.	Othersty	430 milly	Lithouty	4)Charle	Ollowly.	1
	23Seniorumo	2)Summingon	Distriction	23Severánice		Disconsinus		Distance of the Control of the Contr	3)Very Otion 2)Severithes	3)Very Otten	1) Vary Otion	3)Very Ottox	23Vey Oitus	31Very Office	13 Very Otives	31Yay Other	3) Very Office	TiVery Other	DiVery Cities	1
-0.0	I)Near	1)rAcver	DMeter	1)84ever	13Nour	ONeser	Distora	13Mnor	13Mour	2)Sometimes	1)Sometistes	1)Somninu	2)Seartino	2)Smeletes	T)Somewheat	Workstone	275 meticaca	Thomstown	Directors	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1)Sever	13Herris	1)New	1)Mover	West	1)Mexar	Officer	Uther	Tillians	Litterer	1
2	0	0	0	0	0				-	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
211	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		-
4	A	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	5	2	3	2	3	100	-		-		0	3
5	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	6	2	7	5	1		3	1	1	1	0	1	3
	8	8	8	8	8	8			3	6		6	5	3	7	6	6	8	6	1
1/0	0%	0%	0%				8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	1
-				0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	00	-
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		11016		-			-
6	13%	13%	13%	25%	13%	13%	10000		312.552		11000			13%	6%		139	% 89	9 09	la
100	882	88%			100000		38%	25%	63%	25%	38%	25%	38%	38%	13%	6 25%	6 13	% 09	Va 75	9/4
110	CHOOSE PROPERTY	00/0	88%	75%	88%	88%	63%	75%	38%	75%	63%	75%	EU63%	387	88%	6 759	% 75	% 100	196 70	5%

rik; Improvement

is needed in heighlighted Colourn.

CSE Dept Assistant Professor Dept. of Comp. Sci. & Engg. PLITHS, Buidana

REAL PROPERTY.

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldann.
EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS

FEEDBACK I (ODD Sem)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Session: 2020-21

Semester: Fifth Session: 2020-21
Subject: Communication Skills
To,
Prof. B.Y.Chavan
Dear Sir/ Madam,
You have taught the subject C-SKILL of 5th semester during the session 2020-21 to make the teaching process more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching – learning more effective.

-			NING & ORGA	NEZATION			1949 VALUE		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF				o realiting	more errec	TIME					
5				Subject	Teacher come	Tracher	Teachers	NG LEARNING				STUDENTSPA	RETICIPATION		cu	ASS MANAGES	MENT BYVALU	ATTON PROCES	· I	Total
	time	well planned	tbjectives made clear	Maner organised in Larest Technique	well Prepared	speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	writes I draws legibly	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Practi ple of shoors	petroide ability no bring conceptual	Teacher uses: suelio visual aide /evolut for effective teaching		answer the question raised by you to your	encourages, co	impartial in dealing	Tracher enganges	Teacher covers the syllabes	Teacher offers assistance / counciling outside of a	Are the sentente found challenging and Remit of intronal decisions within a	Arm that violences of the phoes is fine	
	SMHays	SJAhiuya	SJAllerys	5) Ukaye	3)Always	DAbres	SiAhove	SMwary	SIAlons									week?		THE
1	4)(Junity	430-409	#jtJoully	43Umally	4)Unuity	()Unaily	1000000	4)Charth	4)Chadle	S)Almajo	1)Abraya	SMINING	SMinaya	13A5map	DAlways	SMA syn	Datesys	2)Aboys	SANses	1
8	3)Very Other	77Vay Otlea	3) Very Others	3) Very Others	33Very Offices	3)Very Ofen	3/Very Often	3)Vory Otless	March Laboratory	4)County	4)Carally	4)/Joseby	Quady	O'Charly.	Othersty	430 milly	Lithouty	4)Charle	Ollowly.	1
	23Seniorumo	2)Summingon	Distriction	23Severánice		DSometimes		Distance of the Control of the Contr	3)Very Otion 2)Severithes	3)Very Otten	1) Vary Otion	3)Very Ottox	23Vey Oitus	31Very Office	13 Very Otives	31Yay Other	3) Very Office	TiVery Other	DiVery Cities	1
-0.0	I)Near	1)rAcver	DMeter	1)84ever	13Nour	ONeser	Distora	13Mnor	13Mour	2)Sometimes	1)Sometistes	1)Somninu	2)Seartino	2)Smeletes	T)Somewheat	Workstone	275 meticaca	Thomstown	Directors	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1)Sever	13Herris	1)New	1)Mover	West	1)Mexar	Distress	Uther	Tillians	Litterer	1
2	0	0	0	0	0				-	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
211	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		-
4	A	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	5	2	3	2	3	100	-		-		0	3
5	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	6	2	-	5	1		3	1	1	1	0	1	3
	8	8	8	8	8	8			3	6		6	5	3	7	6	6	8	6	1
1/0	0%	0%	0%				8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	1
-				0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	00	-
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		11016		-			-
6	13%	13%	13%	25%	13%	13%	10000		312.552		11000			13%	6%		139	% 89	9 09	la
100	882	88%			100000		38%	25%	63%	25%	38%	25%	38%	38%	13%	6 25%	6 13	% 09	Va 75	9/4
110	CHOOSE PROPERTY	00/0	88%	75%	88%	88%	63%	75%	38%	75%	63%	75%	EU63%	387	88%	6 759	% 75	% 100	196 70	5%

rik; Improvement

is needed in heighlighted Colourn.

CSE Dept Assistant Professor Dept. of Comp. Sci. & Engg. PLITHS, Buidana

REAL PROPERTY.

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management Studies, Buldann.
EVALUATION OF TEACHERS BY THE STUDENTS

FEEDBACK I (ODD Sem)
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Session: 2020-21

Semester: Fifth Session: 2020-21
Subject: Communication Skills
To,
Prof. B.Y.Chavan
Dear Sir/ Madam,
You have taught the subject C-SKILL of 5th semester during the session 2020-21 to make the teaching process more effective, feedback from the students is taken. The Your evaluation as per the students feedback is as follows, objective of this feedback is to assist the faculty to dignosis the shortfall so as to make the process of teaching – learning more effective.

-			NING & ORGA	NEZATION			1949 VALUE		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF				o realiting	more errec	TIME					
5				Subject	Teacher come	Tracher	Teachers	NG LEARNING				STUDENTSPA	RETICIPATION		cu	ASS MANAGES	MENT BYVALU	ATTON PROCES	· I	Total
	time	well planned	tbjectives made clear	Maner organised in Larest Technique	well Prepared	speaks clearly & audibly (Comm Skill)	writes I draws legibly	Teacher provides practical examples of concept/Practi ple of shoors	petroide ability no bring conceptual	Teacher uses: suelio visual aide /evolut for effective teaching		answer the question raised by you to your	encourages, co	impartial in dealing	Tracher enganges	Teacher covers the syllabes	Teacher offers assistance / counciling outside of a	Are the sentente found challenging and Remit of intronal decisions within a	Arm that violences of the phoes is fine	
	SMHays	SJAhiuya	SJAllerys	5) Ukaye	3)Always	DAbres	SiAhove	SMwary	SIAlons									week?		THE
1	4)(Junity	430-409	#jtJoully	43Umally	4)Unuity	()Unaily	1000000	4)Charth	4)Chadle	S)Almajo	1)Abraya	SMINING	SMinaya	13A5map	DAlways	SMA syn	Datesys	2)Aboys	SANses	1
8	3)Very Other	77Vay Otlea	3) Very Others	3) Very Others	33Very Offices	3)Very Ofen	3/Very Often	3)Vory Otless	March Laboratory	4)County	4)Carally	4)/Joseby	Quady	O'Charly.	Othersty	430 milly	Lithouty	4)Charle	Ollowly.	1
	23Seniorumo	2)Summingon	Distriction	23Severánice		DSometimes		Distance of the Control of the Contr	3)Very Otion 2)Severithes	3)Very Otten	1) Vary Otion	3)Very Ottox	23Vey Oitus	31Very Office	13 Very Otives	31Yay Other	3) Very Office	TiVery Other	DiVery Cities	1
-0.0	I)Near	1)rAcver	DMeter	1)84ever	13Nour	ONeser	Distora	13Mnor	13Mour	2)Sometimes	1)Sometistes	1)Somninu	2)Seartino	2)Smelines	T)Somewheat	Workstone	275 metimes	Thomstown	Directors	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1)Sever	13Herris	1)New	1)Mover	West	1)Mexar	Officer	Uther	Tillians	Litterer	1
2	0	0	0	0	0				-	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
211	-		U	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		-
4	A	1	1	2	1	1	3	2	5	2	3	2	3	100	-		-		0	3
5	7	7	7	6	7	7	5	6	2	-	5	1		3	1	1	1	0	1	3
	8	8	8	8	8	8			3	6		6	5	3	7	6	6	8	6	1
1/0	0%	0%	0%				8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	1
-				0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	00	-
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
6	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		11016		-			-
6	13%	13%	13%	25%	13%	13%	10000		312.552		11000			13%	6%		139	% 89	9 09	la
100	882	88%			100000		38%	25%	63%	25%	38%	25%	38%	38%	13%	6 25%	6 13	% 09	Va 75	9/4
110	CHOOSE PROPERTY	00/0	88%	75%	88%	88%	63%	75%	38%	75%	63%	75%	EU63%	387	88%	6 759	% 75	% 100	196 70	5%

rik; Improvement

is needed in heighlighted Colourn.

CSE Dept Assistant Professor Dept. of Comp. Sci. & Engg. PLITHS, Buidana

Minutes of 1st IQAC Meeting

Dated: 17th June 2019

Minutes of the meeting dated 17th June 2019

Meeting was convened for reconstitution of IQAC and NAAC steering committee at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 17th June 2019.

Agenda:

- 1. Reconstitution of IQAC
- 2. Reconstitution of NAAC steering committee.
- 3. Academic Planning for year 19-20
- 4. Reduction of Intake so as to achieve quality enhancement.
- 5. Preparation of Research Lab proposal for faculty of Computer Science & Engineering
- 6. Review of IEEE conference at Nashik

Following points were discussed.

- 1. Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) was reconstituted.
- 2. NAAC steering committee was formed.
- 3. Academic plan for year 2019-20 was discussed and approved.
- 4. For quality enrichment intake for B.E. Electrical Engineering and Civil Engineering was reduced.
- 5. Review of preparation for proposal of research lab submission and related preparation were discussed.
- 6. Review was taken for IEEE conference conducted at Nashik on 17th March 2019. It was discussed to organize the next IEEE conference at college campus in Buldana.

IOAC Coordinator

Principal/IQAC Chairperson

peffamille

Principal
Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana



Composition of IQAC was formed as follows:

		Principal, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of	Chairperson
01	Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya	Technology & Management	1
	•	studies, Buldana	
02	M. D.C.I1414	Member ,LMC	Management &Social
02	Mr. R.S.Laddhad		Representative
03	Mr. K. R. Sontakke	Associate Professor, Department of	IQAC Coordinator
03	WII. K. R. SOIItakke	Mechanical Engineering	
04	Mr. S.V.Raut	Head of Department, Department of	Head of Department
04	WII. S. V. Kaut	Applied Science & Humanities	_
05	Mr. F.R.Sheikh	Assistant Professor, Department of	Teacher Representative
0.5	WII. I'.K.SHEIKII	Mechanical Engineering	
06	Mr. P.R Jawale	Assistant Professor, Department of	Teacher Representative
00	WII. I .K Jawaie	Electrical Engineering	
07	Mr. A. A Bhusari	Assistant Professor, Department of	Teacher Representative
07	WII. A. A Bliusaii	Applied Science & Humanities	
08	Mr. S.M.Dandage	Assistant Professor, Department of	Teacher Representative
00	Wii. S.Wi.Dandage	Computer Sci. & Engineering	
09	Mr. A.V.Harkut	Assistant Professor, Department of	Teacher Representative
0)	ivii. 14. v .iiaikut	Electronics & Tele. Engineering	
10	Mr. A.W. Gadewar	Assistant Professor, Department of	Head of Department
10	Will Ti. W. Gude Wal	Civil Engineering	
		Managing Director, Advance Micro	Industrialist
11	Mr. V.G.Bhattad	Devices, Akola Researcher and	
		Industrialist	
		Associate Professor, Department of	Educational
12	Dr. N.N.Kasat	Electronics & Telecommunication	Representative
12	21. 11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1	Engineering, Sipana College of	
		Engineering, Amaravti.	
13	Ms. Apurva .S.Samdurkar		Alumina
14	Ms. Neha Yewatkar		Student Representative
15	Mr. Vilas H. Chavan	Senior Clerk, Zilla Parishad, Buldana	Parent Representative
16	Mr. Sanjay .S.Khadse	Administration	OfficeRepresentative

NAAC steering committee was formed as follows:

Sr. No	Name	Designation
•		
01	Mr. K. R. Sonatakke	IQAC Coordinator
02	Mr. F. R. Sheikh	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering
02	MI. P. K. SHEIKH	Department, Chairman Criterion-I
03	Mr. A. W. Gadewar	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman
03	MI. A. W. Gadewai	Criterion-II
0.4	Ma M O Sharma	HOD ,Electrical Engineering Department Chairman
04	Mr. M. O. Sharma	Criterion-III
05	Mr. C. M. Dandaga	Assistance Professor, Computer Science Engineering
05	Mr. S. M. Dandage	Department, Chairman Criterion-IV
06	Mr. F. R. Sheikh	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering
06	MIT. F. K. SHEIKH	Department Chairman
		Criterion-V

07	Mr. S. V. Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-VI
08	Mr. A. V. Harkut	HOD, Electronics &Telecommunication Engineering Department Chairman Criterion-VII

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. K.R. Sontakke, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F. R. Sheikh, Asst. Prof. Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. A.W. Gadewar, HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. M. O. Sharma, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, HOD, Computer Science Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr. S. V. Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. A. V. Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

IQAC Coordinator

Principal/IQAC Chairperson

foffamille's

Principal Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana



Minutes of 2rd IQAC Meeting

Dated 20/11/2019

Minutes of the meeting dated 20/11/2019

Meeting was convened of NAAC steering committee and initiation of NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 20th November 2019.

Agenda:

- 1. Review of minutes of the last meeting
- 2. Distribution of NAAC Criteria
- 3. AQAR submission.
- 4. Renewal of ISO
- 5. Election/PAN card preparation drive
- 6. Review of Academic plan and planning for next Semester.
- 7. Plan for action Avishkar Competition and FDP on ICT Tools
- 8. Any other points with the permission of chair.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 17th July 2019 was reviewed and all points were confirm edunanimously.
- 2. NAAC criteria were distributed to the committee coordinators as follows:

Sr. No	Name	Designation
01	Mr. K. R. Sonatakke	IQAC Coordinator
02	Mr. F. R. Sheikh	HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-I
03	Mr. A. W. Gadewar	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-II
04	Mr. M. O. Sharma	HOD ,Electrical Engineering Department Chairman Criterion-III
05	Mr. S. M. Dandage	HOD, Computer Science Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV
06	Mr. F. R. Sheikh	HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department Chairman Criterion-V
07	Mr. S. V. Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science &Humanities, Chairman Criterion-VI
08	Mr. A. V. Harkut	HOD, Electronics &Telecommunication Engineering Department Chairman Criterion-VII

3. Review was taken for AQAR preparation and submission.

- 4. Decision was taken about renewal of ISO
- 5. Plan of activity for Election card and PAN card preparationas a social service for Students was discussed
- 6. Academic plan for the current semester was reviewed and approved. Also plan of action for the next semester was discussed.
- 7. Plan of action was discussed for university level technical symposium "Avishkar" and also Central government funded FDP in association with IIITDM Jabalpur in January 2020.

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. K.R. Sontakke, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F. R. Sheikh, HOD. Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. M. M. Joshi, HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. M. O. Sharma, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, HOD, Computer Science Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr. S. V. Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities
- 8. Mr. A. V. Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

IQAC Coordinator

poffamileis Principal/IQAC Chairperson

Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana



Minutes of 3rd IQAC Meeting

Date:20/01/2020 Venue: Conference Room

Minutes of the meeting dated 20/01/2020

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 20th January 2020

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Review of Activity for Avishkar Symposium and FDP on ICT Tools
- 3. Review of Academics
- 4. Plan of action for Research Lab committee visit
- 5. Any otherpoints.

The following members were present:

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting held on 20th November 2019 were approved.
- 2. Review was taken for the activities conducted Avishkar and FDP on "ICT Tools for teaching and Learning process". Coordinators of the activity were asked to prepare and submit report and bills for the remuneration.
- 3. Current Academics activities like syllabus completion, sessional exam conduction were reviewed
- 4. Head of Computer science and Engineering was asked to remain prepared for the visit of research lab.

IQAC Coordinator

Principal/IQAC Chairperson

pafamilles



The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. K.R. Sontakke, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F. R. Sheikh, HOD. Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. M. M. Joshi, HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. M. O. Sharma, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, HOD, Computer Science Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr. S. V. Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities
- 8. Mr. A. V. Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

Minutes of 4th IQAC Meeting

Date: 15/04/2020 Venue: Online Mode

Agenda:

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Conduction of online activities
- 3. Review of Syllabus completion
- 4. Any other Points.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 20/01/2020 was reviewed and all points were confirm edunanimously.
- 2. Due to Covid19 pandemic it was decided to continue classes on online platform and necessary arrangements for it were discussed.
- 3. Syllabus completion, sessional results were discussed.
- 4. AQAR completion status was reviewd.
- 5. It was decided that faculties should enroll for online FDP, webinars during the pandemic and all will coordinate and take care of themselves and the families during this pandemic.

IQAC Coordinator

fufam leis Principal/IQAC Chairperson



Principal Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. K.R. Sontakke, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F. R. Sheikh, HOD. Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. M. M. Joshi, HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. M. O. Sharma, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, HOD, Computer Science Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr. S. V. Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities
- 8. Mr. A. V. Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

Minutes of 1st IOAC Meeting

Dated: 29th June 2020

Minutes of the meeting dated 29th June 2020

Meeting was convened for reconstitution of IQAC and NAAC steering committee at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 29th June 2020.

Agenda:

- 1. Reconstitution of IQAC
- 2. Reconstitution of NAAC steering committee.
- 3. Discussion about Submission of AQAR.
- 4. Discussion about Execution of Academic activities of even semester for Academic Session 2019-20.
- Discussion about Planning of Academic activities for odd semester for academic session 2020-21.
- Review of Placement Activities conducted during previous session i'e (2019-20) and Planning of Placement activities for Academic year 2020-21.
- 7. To discuss about R &D activities & Research Proposal.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) was reconstituted.
- 2. NAAC steering committee was formed.
- Discussion on submission of AQAR (Annual Quality Assurance Report) to NAAC office was done. IQAC coordinator informed that the deadline of submission of AQAR for the Academic Year 2019-20 as per the guidelines of NAAC
- Reviewed execution of academic activities of Even Semester for academic 2019-20.
- 5. The IQAC also discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Academic Year 2020-21.
- Review of the Placement activities conducted during academic year 2019-20 has been taken & discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for Academic Year 2020-21
- Research & Development activities in the college were reviewed in the meeting and it was decided to submit proposal of research lab submission and related preparation were discussed.

Composition of IQAC was formed as follows:

01	Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya	Principal, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management studies, Buldana	Chairperson
02	Dr. D.S.Laddhad	Member ,CDC	Management & Social Representative
03	Mr. A.A.Bhusari	Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Science & Humanities	IQAC Coordinator
04	Mr. S.V.Raut	Head of Department, Department of Applied Science & Humanities	Head of Department
05	Mr. V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering	Teacher Representative
06	Ms. P.W.Rasal	Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering	Teacher Representative
07	Ms. P.S.Kharche	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
08	Mr. V.P.Narkhede	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
09	Ms. J.V.Chavan	Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering	Teacher Representative
10	Mr. A.V.Harkut	Head of Department, Department of Electronics & Tele. Engineering	Head of Department
11	Mr. V.G.Bhattad	Managing Director, Advance Micro Devices, Akola Researcher and Industrialist	Industrialist
12	Dr. N.N.Kasat	Associate Professor, Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, Sipana College of Engineering, Amaravti.	Educational Representative
13			Alumina
14	Ms. Ritu S. Surushe		Student Representative
15	Mr. Shriniwas B. Khare		Parent Representative
16	Mr. Sanjay .S.Khadse	Administration	Office Representative

NAAC steering committee was formed as follows:

Sr.No	Name	Designation
01	Mr. A.A.Bhusari	IQAC Coordinator
02	Mr.S.V.Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-I
03	Mr.S.A.Deshmukh	In-charge HOD, Civil Engineering Department,
04	Mr.S.M.Dandage	In-Charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-III
05	Mr.S.M.Dandage	In-Charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV
06	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	Assistant Professor, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-V
07	Mr.F.R.Sheikh	HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VI
08	Mr.P.R.Jawale	HOD, Electrical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VII

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. A.A.Bhusari, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F.R.Sheikh, HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department —
- 4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, In-charge HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, In-Charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr.S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -
- 8. Mr.A.V. Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

Principal

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies of

Minutes of 2rd 1OAC Meeting

Dated 30/09/2020

Minutes of the meeting dated 30/09/2020

Meeting was convened of NAAC steering committee and initiation of NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 30th September 2020.

Agenda:

- 1. Review of minutes of the last meeting
- 2. AQAR submission.
- 3. Review of Academic Activities for odd Session of Academic Year 2020-21.
- 4. Review of the Placement activities conducted during odd session of Academic Year 2020-21.
- 5. To discuss about R & D activities and Status of Research Proposal.
- 6. Review of department-wise Seminars, workshops and STTP's.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 29th June 2020 was reviewed and all points were confirmed unanimously.
- 2 Review was taken for AQAR preparation and submission.
- 3. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2020-21.
- 4. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2020-21.
- 5. Research & Development activities in the college were reviewed in the meeting and review was taken regarding proposal of research lab submission.
- 6. Department-wise review was taken for seminars, workshop & STTP's.

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. A.A.Bhusari, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F.R.Sheikh, HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department -
- 4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, In-Charge HOD, Civil Engineering Department 5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, In-charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.
- 7. Mr.S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -
- 8. Mr.A.V.Harkut, HOD, Electronics &Telecommunication Engineering Department

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

Minutes of 3rd IQAC Meeting

Date: 4/01/2021

Minutes of the meeting dated 04/01/2021

1QAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 4th January 2021.

Agenda:

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- Planning of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2020-21.
- Planning of Placement activities for even semester for academic session 2020-21.
- Planning of Student activities for even semester for Academic Session 2020-21.
- 5. Discussion of All Criteria of NAAC & Submission of Reports.
- Any other points.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting held on 30th September 2020 were approved.
- 2. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Even semester of academie year 2020-21.
- 3. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for even semester Academie Year 2020-21
- 4. Heads of Department informed about the student activities to be conducted during even semester of academic year 2020-21.
- 5. The IQAC discussed about the all criteria & submission of Reports.

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. A.A.Bhusari, IQAC Coordinator
- 3. Mr. F.R.Sheikh, HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, In-Charge HOD, Civil Engineering Department
- 5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department
- 6. Mr. S.M. Dandage, In-Charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department. 7. Mr.S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -

8. Mr.A.V.Harkut, HOD, Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Depar

Principal Principal

Pankal Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies.Buldana

Minutes of 4th IQAC Meeting

Date: 15/04/2021

Minutes of the meeting dated 15/04/2021

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 15th April 2021.

Agenda:

1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting

2. Review of Syllabus completion

- 3. To discuss about Academic activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2020-21.
- To discuss about Placement activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2020-21.
- To discuss about student activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2020-21.
- 6. Any other points with the permission of chair.

Following points were discussed:

- The minutes of the meeting conducted on 4/01/2021 was reviewed and all points were confirmed unanimously.
- 2. Syllabus completion, sessional results were discussed.
- The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2020-21.
- The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement activities for even semester of Academic Year 2020-21.
- 5. Heads of Department informed about the student activities conducted during even semester of academic year 2020-21.

The following members were present for this meeting.

- 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
- 2. Mr. A.A.Bhusari, IQAC Coordinator —
- 3. Mr. F.R.Sheikh, HOD, Mechanical Engineering Department
- 4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, In-Charge HOD, Civil Engineering Department

5. Mr. P.R. Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

Mr. S.M. Dandage, In-Charge HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.
 Mr.S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities - Department.

8. Mr.A.V. Harkut, HOD Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering Department

Principal

Principal

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology

Management Studies, Buldana

Scanned with CamScanner

Minutes of 1st IQAC Meeting

Dated: 28th June 2021

Minutes of the meeting dated 28th June 2021

Meeting was convened for reconstitution of IQAC and NAAC steering committee at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 28th June 2021.

Agenda:

- 1. Reconstitution of IQAC
- 2. Reconstitution of NAAC steering committee.
- 3. Discussion about Submission of AQAR.
- 4. Discussion about Execution of Academic activities of even semester for Academic Session 2020-21.
- 5. Discussion about Planning of Academic activities for odd semester for academic session
- 6. Review of Placement Activities conducted during previous session i'e (2020-21) and Planning of Placement activities for Academic year 2021-22.
- 7. To discuss about R &D activities & Research Proposal.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) was reconstituted.
- 2. NAAC steering committee was formed.
- Discussion on submission of AQAR (Annual Quality Assurance Report) to NAAC office was done. IQAC coordinator informed that the deadline of submission of AQAR for the Academic Year 2020-21 as per the guidelines of NAAC
- 4. Reviewed execution of academic activities of Even Semester for academic 2020-21.
- 5. The IQAC also discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Academic Year 2021-22.
- Review of the Placement activities conducted during academic year 2020-21 has been taken & discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for Academic Year 2021-22
- Research & Development activities in the college were reviewed in the meeting.

Principal
Panka Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

Composition of IQAC was formed as follows:

0.1	Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya	Principal, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management studies, Buldana	Chairperson
02	Mr. R.S.Laddhad	Member ,LMC	Management &Social Representative
03	Mr. A.V.Harkut	Head of Department, Department of Electronics & Tele, Engineering	IQAC Coordinator
04	Mr. S.V.Raut	Head of Department, Department of Applied Science &Humanities	Head of Department
05	Mr. V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering	Teacher Representative
06	Mr. P.R Jawale	Head of Department, Department of Electrical Engineering	Head of Department
07	Mr. S.M.Dandage	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
08	Mr. V.P.Narkhede	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
09	Mr. S.A.Deshmukh	Head of Department, Department of Civil Engineering	Head of Department
10	Mr. V.G.Bhattad	Managing Director, Advance Micro Devices, Akola Researcher and Industrialist	Industrialist
11	Dr. N.N.Kasat	Associate Professor, Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, Sipana College of Engineering, Amaravti.	Educational Representative
12	1,1111		Alumina
13	Troi itti o. oti usite		Student Representative
14	- I william		Parent Representative
15	Mr. Sanjay .S.Khadse	Administration	Office Representative

Principal .

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

NAAC steering committee was formed as follows:

Sr.No	Name	Designation
01	Mr. A.V.Harkut	IQAC Coordinator
02	Mr.S.V.Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-I
03	Mr.S.A.Deshmukh	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-II
04	Mr.S.M.Dandage	HOD , Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-III
05	Mr.S.M.Dandage	HOD , Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV
06	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-V
07	Mr.V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VI
08	Mr.P.R.Jawale	HOD, Electrical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VII

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS.Buldana

2. Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator []

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. S.M.Dandage, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities - (

8. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

Principal

Principal
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

Minutes of 2rd IQAC Meeting

Dated 30/09/2021

Minutes of the meeting dated 30/09/2021

Meeting was convened of NAAC steering committee and initiation of NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 30th September 2021.

Agenda:

- 1. Review of minutes of the last meeting
- 2. Distribution of NAAC Criteria
- 3. AQAR submission.
- 4. Review of Academic Activities for odd Session of Academic Year 2021-22.
- Review of the Placement activities conducted during odd session of Academic Year 2021-22.
- 6. To discuss about R & D activities.
- 7. Review of department-wise Seminars, workshops and STIP's.

Following points were discussed.

- The minutes of the meeting conducted on 28th June 2021 was reviewed and all points were confirmed ununimously.
- 2 NAAC criteria were distributed to the committee coordinators as follows:

Sr.No	Name	Designation
01	Mr. A.V.Harkut	IQAC Coordinator
02	Mr.S.V.Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-I
03	Mr.S.A.Deshmukh	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-II
04	Mr.S.M.Dandage	1!OD , Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-III
05	Mr.S.M.Dandage	HOD , Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV
06	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-V
07	Mr.V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VI
08	Mr.P.R.Jawale	HOD, Electrical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VII

P.Y. Yaum les Principal Pankaj Liddhad Institute of Technology

- Review was taken for AQAR preparation and submission.
- 4. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2021-22.
- 5. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2021-22.
- 6. Research & Development activities in the college were reviewed in the meeting.
- Department-wise review was taken for seminars, workshop & STTP's.

The following members were present for this meeting.

Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana
 Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator (Duran)

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department -

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. S.M.Dandage, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department. -

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities .-

8. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

Principal

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

Minutes of 3rd IQAC Meeting

Date: 3/01/2022

Minutes of the meeting dated 03/01/2022

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 3th January 2022.

Agenda:

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Planning of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2021-22.
- Planning of Placement activities for even semester for academic session 2021-22.
- 4. Planning of Student activities for even semester for Academic Session 2021-22.
- 5. Any other points.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting held on 30th September 2021 were approved.
- 2 The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Even semester of academic year 2021-22.
- 3. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for even semester Academic Year 2021-22
- 4. Heads of Department informed about the student activities to be conducted during even semester of academic year 2021-22.

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS. Buldana P. Y. Jammele:

2. Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator (1

3. Mr. V.M Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department - Acst

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. S.M.Dandage, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science &Humanities -

8. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineer

Pankaj Ladohad Institute of Technology Management Studies Buldana

Minutes of 4th IOAC Meeting

Date 11/04/2022

Minutes of the meeting dated 11/04/2022

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 11th April 2022.

Agenda:

- Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Review of Syllabus completion
- 3. To discuss about Academic activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2021-22.
- 4. To discuss about Placement activities conducted during even semester of Academie year 2021-22.
- To discuss about student activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2021-22.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 3/01/2022 was reviewed and all points were confirmed unanimously.
- Syllabus completion, sessional results were discussed.
- The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2021-22.
- 4. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement activities for even semester of Academic Year 2021-22.
- 5. Heads of Department informed about the student activities conducted during even semester of academic year 2021-22.

The following members were present for this meeting. 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana P. Januar 2. Mr. A. V. Harkar HOD & 1000 C. 2. Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator (1) 3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department 4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department -5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department 6. Mr. S.M.Dandage, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -

8. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineer

Principal Pankaj Laddhed Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, YELGAON, BULDHANA

CIRCULAR

All the members of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) are requested to attend a meeting on

27.06.2022 at 2.30 pm in Principal's Office.

Agenda of Meeting

- Reconstitution of IQAC
- Reconstitution of NAAC Steering Committee.
- Discussion about submission of AQAR
- Discussion about Execution of Academic Activities of even semester for Academic Session 2021-22
- Discussion about planning of Academic Activities for odd semester for academic Session 2022-23
- Review of Placement activities conducted during previous session i.e 2021-22 and planning of placement activities for academic year 2022-23

Principal **PRINCIPAL**

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technolog

Management Studies, Buldana

Date: 20.06.2022

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana L. Y. January

2. Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department
 Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering



Minutes of 1st IQAC Meeting

Dated: 27th June 2022

Minutes of the meeting dated 27th June 2022

Meeting was convened for reconstitution of IQAC and NAAC steering committee at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 27th June 2022.

Agenda:

- 1. Reconstitution of IQAC
- 2. Reconstitution of NAAC steering committee.
- 3. Discussion about Submission of AQAR.
- Discussion about Execution of Academic activities of even semester for Academic Session 2021-22.
- 5. Discussion about Planning of Academic activities for odd semester for academic session 2022-23.
- 6. Review of Placement Activities conducted during previous session i'e (2021-22) and Planning of Placement activities for Academic year 2022-23.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) was reconstituted.
- 2. NAAC steering committee was formed.
- Discussion on submission of AQAR (Annual Quality Assurance Report) to NAAC office was done. IQAC coordinator informed that the deadline of submission of AQAR for the Academic Year 2021-22 as per the guidelines of NAAC
- 4. Reviewed execution of academic activities of Even Semester for academic 2021-22.
- 5. The IQAC also discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Academic Year 2022-23.
- 6. Review of the Placement activities conducted during academic year 2021-22 has been taken & discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for Academic Year 2022-23

PRINCIPAL
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

Composition of IQAC was formed as follows:

01	Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya	Principal, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology & Management studies, Buldana	Chairperson
02	Mr. R.S.Laddhad	Member ,LMC	Management & Social Representative
03	Mr. A.V.Harkut	Head of Department, Department of Electronics & Tele. Engineering	IQAC Coordinator
04	Mr. S.V.Raut	Head of Department, Department of Applied Science & Humanities	Head of Department
05	Mr. V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering	Teacher Representative
06	Mr. P.R Jawale	Head of Department, Department of Electrical Engineering	Head of Department
07	Mr. S.M.Dandage	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
08	Mr. V.P.Narkhede	Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Sci. & Engineering	Teacher Representative
09	Mr. S.A.Deshmukh	Head of Department, Department of Civil Engineering	nt Head of Department
10	Mr. V.G.Bhattad	Managing Director, Advance Micro Devices, Akola Researcher and Industrialist	Industrialist
11	Dr. N.N.Kasat	Associate Professor, Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering, Sipana College of Engineering, Amaravti.	Educational Representative
12	Ms. Sujata Zine		Alumina
13	Ms. Ritu S. Surushe		Student Representative
14	Mr. Shriniwas B. Khare		Parent Representative
15	Mr. Sanjay .S.Khadse	Administration	Office Representative



PRINCIPAL
Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology
Management Studies, Buldana

NAAC steering committee was formed as follows:

Sr.No	Name	Designation	
01	Mr. A.V.Harkut	IQAC Coordinator	
02	Mr.S.V.Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-I	
03	Mr.S.A.Deshmukh	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-II	
04	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-III	
05	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV	
06	Mr.S.M.Dandage	Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-V	
07	Mr.V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VI	
08	Mr.P.R.Jawale	HOD, Electrical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VII	

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana & Janua le

2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator - (Under

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department-

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

PRINCIPAL

Pankai Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, YELGAON, BULDHANA

CIRCULAR

All the members of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) are requested to attend a meeting on

26.09.2022 at 3.00 pm in Principal's Office.

Agenda of Meeting

- Review of minutes of the last meeting
- Distribution of NAAC Criteria
- AQAR submission.
- Review of Academic Activities for odd Session of Academic Year 2022-23.
- Review of the Placement activities conducted during odd session of Academic Year 2022-23.
- To discuss about R & D activities.
- Review of department-wise Seminars, workshops and STTP's.

Principal

Date: 23.09.2022

PRINCIPAL

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana Ry. Janualo

2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator (lullu

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department afway

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department —

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineerin

Minutes of 2rd IQAC Meeting

Dated 26/09/2022

Minutes of the meeting dated 26/09/2022

Meeting was convened of NAAC steering committee and initiation of NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 26th September 2022.

Agenda:

- 1. Review of minutes of the last meeting
- 2. Distribution of NAAC Criteria
- 3. AQAR submission.
- 4. Review of Academic Activities for odd Session of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 5. Review of the Placement activities conducted during odd session of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 6. To discuss about R & D activities.
- 7. Review of department-wise Seminars, workshops and STTP's.

Following points were discussed.

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 27th June 2022 was reviewed and all points were confirmed unanimously.
- 2 NAAC criteria were distributed to the committee coordinators as follows:

Sr.No	Name	Designation	
01	Mr. A.V.Harkut	IQAC Coordinator	
02	Mr.S.V.Raut	HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities, Chairman Criterion-I	
03	Mr.S.A.Deshmukh	HOD ,Civil Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-II	
04	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-III	
05	Mr.V.P.Narkhede	HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-IV	
06	Mr.S.M.Dandage	Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-V	
07	Mr.V.M.Nawale	Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VI	
08	Mr.P.R.Jawale	HOD, Electrical Engineering Department, Chairman Criterion-VII	



- 3. Review was taken for AQAR preparation and submission.
- 4. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 5. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for the odd semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 6. Research & Development activities in the college were reviewed in the meeting.
- 7. Department-wise review was taken for seminars, workshop & STTP's.

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana P. Y. Januar Levis
2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator Junior 3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department —

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

14 Januallis Principal

PRINCIPAL Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, YELGAON, BULDHANA

CIRCULAR

All the members of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) are requested toattend a meeting on 05.01.2023 at 2.30 pm in Principal's Office.

Agenda of Meeting

- Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- Planning of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- Planning of Placement activities for even semester for academic session 2022-23.
- Planning of Student activities for even semester for Academic Session 2022-23.
- Any other points.

Date: 02.01.2023

Principal

PRINCIPAL

Pankay Laddhad Institute of Technology 1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana Ly Jauruble: Management Studies, Buldana
2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & JOACCO.

2. Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department —

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities -

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

Minutes of 3rd IQAC Meeting

Date: 5/01/2023

Minutes of the meeting dated 05/01/2022

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 5th January 2023.

Agenda:

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Planning of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 3. Planning of Placement activities for even semester for academic session 2022-23.
- 4. Planning of Student activities for even semester for Academic Session 2022-23.
- 5. Any other points.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting held on 26th September 2022 were approved.
- 2. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic Activities for Even semester of academic year 2022-23.
- 3. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement Activities for even semester Academic Year 2022-23
- 4. Heads of Department informed about the student activities to be conducted during even semester of academic year 2022-23.

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana

2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator ()

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department Jours

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engin

Pankay Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana

PANKAJ LADDHAD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES, YELGAON, BULDHANA

CIRCULAR

All the members of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) are requested to attend a meeting on

Agenda of Meeting

- Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- Review of Syllabus completion

11.04.2023 at 2.30 pm in Principal's Office.

- To discuss about Academic activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2022-23.
- To discuss about Placement activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2022-23.
- To discuss about student activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2022-23.

P. Yammale's

PRINCIPAL

Date: 08.04.2023

Pankay Laddhad Institute of Technology

 Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana Py Fauture Studies, Buldana
 Mr. A.V.Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator During
 Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Prof. 3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department Ward

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department —

5. Mr. P.R.Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineerin

Minutes of 4th IQAC Meeting

Date: 11/04/2023

Minutes of the meeting dated 11/04/2023

IQAC Meeting was convened to discuss the following agenda and NAAC work at Pankaj Laddhad Institutes of Technology and Management studies, Buldana on 11th April 2023.

Agenda:

- 1. Review & approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
- 2. Review of Syllabus completion
- 3. To discuss about Academic activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2022-23.
- 4. To discuss about Placement activities conducted during even semester of Academic year
- 5. To discuss about student activities conducted during even semester of Academic year 2022-23.

Following points were discussed:

- 1. The minutes of the meeting conducted on 5/01/2023 was reviewed and all points were confirmed unanimously.
- 2. Syllabus completion, sessional results were discussed.
- 3. The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Academic activities for even semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- The IQAC discussed about the conduction of Placement activities for even semester of Academic Year 2022-23.
- 5. Heads of Department informed about the student activities conducted during even semester of academic year 2022-23.

The following members were present for this meeting.

1. Dr. P. M. Jawandhiya, Principal, PLITMS, Buldana

2. Mr. A.V. Harkut, HOD & IQAC Coordinator (Under

3. Mr. V.M.Nawale, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department

4. Mr. S.A.Deshmukh, HOD, Civil Engineering Department

5. Mr. P.R. Jawale, HOD, Electrical Engineering Department

6. Mr. V.P.Narkhede, HOD, Computer Science & Engineering Department.

7. Mr. S.V.Raut, HOD, Department of Applied Science & Humanities

8. Mr. S.M.Dandage, Associate Professor, Computer Science & Engineering

Principal

PRINCIPAL

Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology Management Studies, Buldana